ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY



FISCAL YEAR 2002 ANNUAL REPORT



1645 West Jefferson Suite 326 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-5656 FAX (602) 542-5680

BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

THE HONORABLE JANET NAPOLITANO

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA And MEMBERS OF THE 46TH LEGISLATURE

The Board is pleased to present this FY 2002 Annual report for the period of July 1, 2001 to June 30, 2002. During the past budget cycle, the Board has successfully adjusted to the impact of Truth-in-Sentencing which eliminated parole for offenses committed after January of 1994. In FY 01 and FY 02 the Board gave up ten (10) positions and restructured the organization to achieve and accommodate to our adjusted mission. It is critical to note that our workload is actually projected to increase in FY 03. The reason for the increase is that although workload has increased by 26% and the commutation/pardon workload has increased by 65%. Additionally, the parole denial rate has increased from 63% in FY 01 to 70% in FY 02. The increase in the denial rate will continue to push the approximately 2,273 parole eligible inmates into the future. It is also important to note that by FY 04, the parole eligible pool remaining should be approximately 2300 inmates. Conservative projections indicate that total hearing held will increase from 4304 in FY 02 to 5000 in FY 04.

Of the 1,844 parole hearings scheduled, 1262 (68%) were conducted. Of these the Board granted 374 (30%) releases and denied 754(70%) releases. Of the 582 commutation requests, the Board recommended 39 (7%) for consideration by the Governor. Of the 2,395 revocations, the Board revoked 2,193 (92%). The Board did not conduct reprieve hearings during FY 02.

The Board will remain vigilant toward the statutory obligations of protecting Arizona citizens.

Thank you for your interest and your continued cooperation.

Respectfully submitted,

Carrol de Broekert

Chairman

ARIZONA STATE BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY FISCAL YEAR 2002 ANNUAL REPORT REPORTING PERIOD JULY 1, 2001 TO JUNE 30, 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

MISSION STATEMENT	
AGENCY DESCRIPTION	2
HISTORY OF THE ARIZONA BOA	ARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY2
ORGANIZATION OF THE ARIZO	NA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY 3
PAROLE GUIDELINES AND STRU	UCTURED DECISION MAKING 3
TYPES OF HEARINGS CONDUCT	TED BY THE BOARD5
SUMMARY OF BOARD WORKLO	OAD 1990 TO 2002 6A-6B
BOARD MEMBERS	7
PAST MEMBERS OF THE ARIZO	NA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY9

MISSION STATEMENT

The mission of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency is to ensure public safety by considering and granting parole to inmates certified by the Department of Corrections and who appear not to pose a threat to society, and by recommending to the Governor only those executive clemency actions which are in the best interest and safety of the citizens of Arizona.

AGENCY DESCRIPTION

Each month the Board conducts parole hearings for inmates who have committed offenses prior to January 1994. Parole hearings include consideration for home arrest, work furlough, release, rescission, modification, revocation and absolute discharge. The Board also conducts clemency hearings, which include commutation, pardon and reprieve.

HISTORY OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

Change and adaptation best characterize the history of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency. The state of Arizona first afforded inmates the opportunity for parole in 1901. The Board of Control functioned as the state's discretionary releasing mechanism and consisted of the Governor, Territorial Auditor, and one citizen appointed by the Governor. The Board of Control retained its releasing authority until the first Criminal Code became effective in October of 1913.

In 1913, the Legislature established the Board of Pardons and Paroles, which assumed the discretionary releasing function of its consisted of a citizen appointed by the Governor to serve as Board chairperson, the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and the Attorney General. Board composition remained unchanged for the next five decades.

The Legislature expanded Board membership to five part-time members in 1966. The Governor appointed all members to five-year terms. In 1968, the Legislature amended this expansion and created a Board comprised of three full-time members. The Governor appointed all members to three-year terms and the appointments became subject to Senate confirmation. A full decade passed before the Board experienced a change in its composition.

In 1978, the Legislature increased Board size to five full-time members. The appointments were to five-year terms and remained subject to Senate confirmation. This legislative action coincided with the passage of the new Criminal Code.

The Legislature again expanded Board membership to seven full-time members in 1984.

In 1993, Legislation passed which eliminated all Board releases for inmates whose offense was committed after January 1, 1994. In addition, the Board's name changed from **Board of Pardons and Paroles** to the **Board of Executive Clemency**.

Arizona State Board Of Executive Clemency FY2000 Annual Report Page 2 of 9 In 1997, Legislation passed which decreased the membership of the Board from seven to five members. This change became effective in January 1998.

Arizona Revised Statutes § 31-401 and subsequent sections detail the operating authority and duties of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency.

ORGANIZATION OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

Due to budget cuts, the Board has been reduced from a full-time staff of 17 to a full-time staff of 7. This staff supports the five Board Members. The staff is comprised of:

Executive Director - The Executive Director is responsible for the administrative, operational and financial functions for the Board.

Program and Project Specialist II – This position is the Board's liaison to the Department of Correction's Adult Information Management System (AIMS) for the purpose of maintaining Board statistical information, drafts policies and procedures, monitors staff training, and completes special projects.

Administrative Service Officer I – This position is responsible for managing the Board's business functions, and serves as computer network administrator, and maintains statistical information.

Hearing Officers – The Hearing Officer III manages and supervises 1 Hearing Officer and 3 support staff. The Hearing Officers conduct probable cause hearings for rescission and revocation actions, provide information to the Board for all clemency actions and prepare reports for inmates certified eligible for other release consideration the Board's use when considering inmates certified eligible for parole and commutation.

Administrative Support Positions – The 3 (previously 6) Administrative Support staff provide critical support to the Board by monitoring hearings, processing packets for the Board's use in making release decisions, providing statutorily mandated notifications to victims, officials and other concerned individuals and entities, managing and maintaining individual files and the file room and completing dispositions and proclamations for decisions made by the Board.

PAROLE GUIDELINES AND STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING

Structured Decision Making (SDM) involves the guidelines that provide the Board with a common framework in which to make decisions. SDM guidelines consist of explicitly stated goals; policy statements; and measurement instruments designed to systematically assess an inmate's suitability for release. Risk Assessment and Offense Severity function as two of the core components of SDM. Due to budget cuts this instrument is no longer being used. The instrument requires specialized training and research, which was previously done by the 3 Case Analysts.

Arizona State Board Of Executive Clemency FY2000 Annual Report Page 3 of 9

I. Risk Assessment

The risk assessment component assesses the likelihood that an inmate may commit a new felony offense while on a Board release. While no Board member or instrument can predict an inmate's future behavior with total confidence, the best measure of the future still remains the past. As such, the Board conducted a study on 1988 releases to identify those factors predictive of success or failure on release. Four factors emerged as significant predictors of success or failure. These factors include:

- (1) Age at First Juvenile Incarceration
- (2) Current Age at Time of Hearing
- (3) History of Property Offenses
- (4) ADOC Institutional Risk Score

The combination of these factors results in a score that indicates an inmate's likelihood to commit a new offense once released. The higher the risk score, the greater the likelihood to re-offend. Board analysts score inmates for risk and place them into one of four risk assessment groups.

II. Offense Severity

The offense severity component involves an initial determination of an inmate's most serious offense behavior, and the subsequent placement of this offense behavior into one of eight severity levels. Severity level 1 contains the most serious offense behaviors and severity level 8 contains the least serious offense behaviors. Level of victimization and amount of property or drugs involved in the offense function as primary criteria for the ranking of offense behaviors.

The most serious offense behavior reflects what the offender actually did. Board analysts determine the most serious offense behavior based on official documents that depict the actual offense behavior. Official documents include pre-sentence investigation reports; police reports; court documents; and any additional probation/parole officer reports. The Board, however, makes the ultimate determination of the most serious offense behavior.

Board analysts then enter the risk assessment group and the offense severity level on the Guidelines Classification Matrix. Each cell on the matrix contains a percentage that Board analysts apply to the conviction sentence length. They use this percentage to calculate the amount of additional time, if applicable, an inmate may need to serve beyond his or her release eligibility date to be within the SDM recommended time frame for release.

The Board uses SDM guidelines for all hearings except for revocation and rescission hearings; Executive Clemency recommendations; those inmates whose offense behavior or conviction offense is of a sexual nature; and those inmates whose conviction offenses include first or second degree murder (A.R.S. §13-1105 and 13-1104, respectively).

TYPES OF HEARINGS CONDUCTED BY THE BOARD

GENERAL PAROLE (A.R.S. §31-412.A) A conditional release from incarceration which entitles the parolee to serve the remainder of the parolee's term outside the confines of a penal institution if the parolee satisfactorily complies with all the terms and conditions provided in the parole order.

PAROLE TO A CONSECUTIVE SENTENCE OR ANOTHER JURISDICTION (A.R.S. §31-412.B) A mechanism to parole an inmate to a consecutive sentence to be served in an institution or parole to another jurisdiction.

EARLY PAROLE (A.R.S. §41-1604.11.K) A conditional release from incarceration before the inmate has reached their general parole eligibility date. This type of release consideration is used when there is a lack of bed space within the Department of Correction's institutions. An inmate eligible for release under this statue may be considered for parole, home arrest or work furlough.

HOME ARREST (A.R.S. §41-1604.13) A program for those inmates who are eligible to complete their prison sentence in the community. The Home Arrest program is a restrictive program designed to confine an inmate to their residence using active electronic monitoring surveillance and the supervision of a Home Arrest officer. A person in the Home Arrest Program is under inmate status and subject to all of the rules and regulations of the Department of Corrections. Except for authorized movements out of the residence, such as employment and mandated treatment programming, the inmate is confined to his residence.

WORK FURLOUGH (A.R.S. §41-1604.11) A release from prison, which allows the inmate to maintain gainful employment and pay restitution to the victim of the inmate's offense.

ABSOLUTE DISCHARGE (A.R.S. §31-411 & 31-414) The Board may discharge a person from imprisonment (411) or parole supervision (414) prior to the sentence expiration date or prior to the expiration of parole. Generally, Community Corrections, a Division of the Department of Corrections, recommends a 414 to the Board because of exceptional performance while under supervision.

PARDON (A.R.S. §31-441, 31-442, 31-443, 31-444, 31-445, 31-446) An act of grace or a remission of guilt, which absolves the convicted felon of the legal consequences of their crime and conviction. A full pardon restores those civil rights, which may have been lost as a result of the conviction for which the pardon is granted. The Governor cannot grant a pardon unless the Board (A.R.S. §31-402) has first recommended it.

REPRIEVE (A.R.S. §31-443, 31-444, 31-445, 31-446) A delay or temporary suspension of the carrying out of punishment. The Governor may only grant a reprieve upon recommendation of the Board (A.R.S. §31-402).

COMMUTATION OF SENTENCE (A.R.S. §31-411, 31-443, 31-445, 31-446, 13-603.L) A change or modification of a sentence imposed by the court. The Board assumes that sentences

Arizona State Board Of Executive Clemency FY2000 Annual Report Page 5 of 9 imposed by the court are fair and correct; however, either by Judicial request or by inmate application, mitigating circumstances may exist which indicate that justice would be better served if a commutation were recommended to the Governor. The Governor may only grant a commutation of sentence upon recommendation of the Board (A.R.S. §31-402).

RESCISSION a withdrawal of an action previously granted by the Board before the inmate actually enters the action status.

REVOCATION (A.R.S. §31-415, 31-416, 31-417) A withdrawal of an action previously granted by the Board after the inmate has entered the action status.

MODIFICATION A hearing held to determine if conditions of release should be modified or changed. A modification hearing is usually held at the request of a parole officer.

CLEMENCY BOARD WORKLOAD FY 1990 - 2002

					LIL	F I 1990 - 2002			-	,
Fiscal		Parole Workload	/orkload		Modificatio	Modification, Rescission & Revocation	Revocation	J	Clemency Workload	kload
Year	(Ab	(Absolute Discharge-Abs. Dis.)	arge-Abs. D	is.)	n	Workload	ð	(RTG-	(RTG-Recommend to Governor) (NR-Not recommended)	Governor) ended)
	Hearings	Grants	Denials	Abs. Dis.	Modification,	Rescission	Revocation	Pardon	Reprieve	Commutation
	Scheduled/	#	#	Grant/Den	Mod/Not Mod	Res/Not Res	Rev/Not Rev	RTG/NR	RTG/NR	RTG/NR
	Held	: %	%	%	%Modified	%Rescinded	%Revoked	%RTG	%RTG	%RTG
FV 95	14 020	4.373	5.130	=	12	187	880	2	0	26
2	9 503	46%	54%	8/3	12/0	174/13	792/88	0/2		10/16
t		:		73%	100%	93%	%06	%0		38%
FV 96	12 192	3.322	4.673	7	44	153	1,006	3	1	29
0/11	7 995	42%	28%	6/1	40/4	135/18	897/109	0/3	0/1	22/45
	Circu	1		%98	91%	%88	%68	%0	%0	33%
FV 97	8 892	2.473	3.321	=	33	109	1,026	5	4	105
17.1	5 794	43%	57%	3/8	33/0	96/13	933/93	3/2	0/4	17/88
				27%	100%	%88	91%	%09	%0	16%
FV 98	6371	1.633	2.462	9	34	77	1,430	6	5	166
07 1 1	4 095	40%	%09	3/3	34/0	61/16	1,271/159	4/5	0/5	38/128
	2001			20%	100%	462	%68	44%	%0	23%
FV 99	4 362	1.188	2.066	4	19	31	1,643	3	7	281
1117	3,254	37%	63%	4/0	19/0	26/5	1,501/142	0/3	1/6	32/249
	7,47			100%	100%	84%	91%	%0	14%	11%
EVO	3 861	763	1 868	7	13	35	2.071	7	3	437
FI 00	2,604	20%	71%	6/5	9/4	30/5	1,943/128	4/3	0/3	42/349
5 5	7,001			71%	%69	%98	94%	21%	%0	10%
EV 01	3 010	515	1401		8	26	2,473	15	1	401
1011	1,916	27%	73%	7/4	6/2	23/3	2,304/169	6/9	0/1	24/377
	1,7,1			64%	75%	%88	93%	40%	%0	%9
FY 02 ★	1844	374	784888		2	13 3	23952647	30 ₽		582 70
20 4 4		30%	%01	14/5	2/0 1/0	12/13/1	7	00	_	39-391543
64112	1651	473	5990		100% /00	92% 67	D419 : 92% A 91	\$ 91,50% 5	%0	1% %1
		Total Hearings Held	rings Hele	p 23.	Additional wo	rkload include	Additional workload included in Total Hearings Held:	ngs Held:	,	
FY 95		10,621 + 1,491=12,112	491=12,11			をは、後には、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、は、	では、10mmのでは、1	10000000000000000000000000000000000000		
FV 96		9.276 + 7	9.276 + 751 = 10.027		Setting Conditi	ions of Supervis	Conditions of Supervision-655; Disproportionality Review-836 (Total 1,491)	ortionality Re	eview-836 (T	otal 1,491)
FY 97		7,(7,087		Setting Conditi	ions of Supervis	Conditions of Supervision-76; Disproportionality Review-675 (Total 751)	rtionality Rev	/iew-675 (To	tal 751)
FV 08		5.822 + 1	5.822 + 144=5,966							
FY 99		5,2	5,242		Proposition 20	0-139; Domesti	Proposition 200-139; Domestic Violence-5 (Total 144)	al 144)		
FV 00		5,2	5,203		Board	Budget in Mil	Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members	Staff includ	ing Board M	embers
FY 01	4,896 + 34 Domestic Violence = 4,930	Jomestic V	Violence =	: 4,930	FY 95 FY	FY 96 FY 97	FY 98 FY 99	FY 00	FY 01 F	FY 02 FY 03
FY 02		43	4304		1.8/40 1.7	1.7/39 1.7/37	1.4/36 1.7/33	1.4/26	.4/22	1.4/22 0.9/16*
*allocate	*allocated 16 FTE's but only 12 FTE's are funded.	ut only 12	FTE's are	e funded.				PAGE 6A of 9	6.	

*allocated 16 FTE's but only 12 FTE's are funded.

CLEMENCY BOARD WORKLOAD FY 1990 - 2002

Modification Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve (NR-Not recommended) Modification Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve (Ommutation) Mod/Not Mod Res/Not Res Rev/Not Rev RTG/NR RTG/NR %Modification %Rescinded %Revocation Rev/Not Rev RTG/NR %Modificational %Res/Not Res Rev/Not Rev RTG/NR RTG/NR %Modificational Res/Not Res RTG/NR RTG/NR RTG/NR %Rod/Not Mod Rev/Not Rev RTG/NR RTG/NR RTG/NR \$9/2 84% 91% 0 65 \$1 27 633 26 1 54 \$1 23/27 \$78/55 18/8 0/1 19/35 \$1 73 \$50/43 8/3 0/1 26/45 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$2 \$20 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 <	Workload (RTG-Recommend to Granden) Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve Res/Not Res Rev/Not Rev % RTG/NR RTG/NR %Rescinded % Revoked % RTG % RTG 67 614 9 0 56/11 559/55 2/7 0 56/11 559/55 2/7 0 84% 91% 2/7 0% 84% 91% 2/7 0% 84% 91% 0% 0% 84% 91% 0% 0% 85% 91% 0% 0% 85% 91% 0% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 158 643 5 0 140/18 557/86 60% 0 89% 87% 60% 89% 87% 60%	Workload (RTG-Recommend to Granden) Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve Res/Not Res %Revoked %RTG/NR RTG/NR %Rescinded %Revoked %RTG %RTG 67 614 9 0 56/11 559/55 2/7 0 56/11 559/55 2/7 0 84% 91% 2/7 0 84% 91% 2/7 0 84% 91% 0/8 0/8 1 578/55 18/8 0/1 85% 91% 69% 0% 92% 93% 11 1 67/6 550/43 8/3 0/1 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 140/18 557/86 3/2 0 89% 89% 643 5 0 140/18 557/86 60% 0 89	Workload (RTG-Recommend to GING-Recommend to GING-Recommend to GING-Resolution) (RTG-Recommend to GING-Resolution) Res/Not Res Rev/Not Rev % RTG/NR RTG/NR %Res/not Res % RTG % RTG % RTG %Resconded %Revoked % RTG % RTG 67 614 9 0 67 614 9 0 67 614 9 0 84% 91% 277 0 84% 91% 633 26 1 85% 91% 69% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 3/1 0/2 140/18 87% 60% 0 89% 87% 60% 0 90 60% 3/2 0 140/18 87% 60% 0 89% 87% 0 0 89% 60% <t< th=""></t<>
Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve Res/Not Res %Rev/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %Res/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %Res/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %Res/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %RTG/NR 67 614 9 0 56/11 559/55 2/7 0 84% 91% 22/7 0% 84% 91% 22/7 0% 73/27 578/55 18/8 0/1 73 593 11 1 67/6 550/43 8/3 0/1 92% 93% 73% 0% 97 645 4 2 72/25 535/110 3/1 0/2 140/18 557/86 60% 0 89% 60% 60% 0 9% 60% 0 0	Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve Res/Not Res %Rev/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %Res/Not Res %Rev/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %Res/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %Res/Not Rev %RTG/NR %RTG/NR %RTG/NR 67 614 9 0 0 84% 91% 227 0% 0 84% 91% 69% 0% 0 85% 91% 69% 0% 0 85% 91% 69% 0% 0 92% 93% 73% 0 0 158 645 4 2 0 158 643 5 0 0 140/18 557/86 3/2 0 0 89% 87% 60% 0 0 89% 87% 60% 0 0	Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve Res/Not Res Rev/Not Revoked %RTG/NR RTG/NR RTG	Modification Rescission Revocation Pardon Reprieve Mod/Not Mod Res/Not Res Rev/Not Rev RTG/NR RTG/NR %Modified %Rescinded %Rev/Not Rev %RTG/NR RTG/NR 61 67 614 9 0 59/2 56/11 559/55 2/7 0 59/2 84% 91% 227 0% 97% 84% 91% 227 0% 97% 85% 91% 69% 0% 97% 85% 91% 69% 0% 97% 92% 93% 73% 0% 96% 92% 93% 73% 0% 96% 92% 93% 73% 0% 17/3 140/18 555/43 8/3 0/1 85% 89% 89% 87% 66% 85% 89% 87% 66% 0% 17/3 140/18 87% 66%
Res/Not Res Rev/Not Revoked RTG/NR RTG/NR %Rescinded %Revoked %RTG %RTG 67 614 9 0 56/11 559/55 2/7 0 84% 91% 22% 0% 84% 91% 22% 0% 84% 91% 60% 0% 84% 91% 69% 0% 85% 91% 69% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 158 643 5 60% 140/18 557/86 3/2 89% 87% 60% 89% 87% 60%	Res/Not Res Res/Not Revoked % RTG/NR RTG/NR %Rescinded %Revoked % RTG % RTG 67 614 9 0 67 614 9 0 84% 91% 27 0 84% 91% 22% 0% 84% 91% 22% 0% 84% 91% 69% 0% 84% 91% 69% 0% 85% 91% 69% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 73% 0% 92% 93% 0 0 158 643 5 0 140/18 557/86 60% 0 89% 87% 60% 0 10 60% 0	Res/Not Res	Mod/Not Mod ResNot Res Rev/Not Rev RTG/NR RTG/NR
0 0 0 0% 0/1 0/1 0% 0/2 0/2	9 % RTG 0 0 0 0% 1 1 0/1 0/2 0/2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0	0 0 0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0/2	0 0 0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0/2 0 0	0 0 0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0/2 0 1 0/1 0/2
0 0% 1 0/1 0% 0/2 0/2	0 0% 1 0/1 0% 0/1 0/2 0/2	0 0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0/2 0 1 0 mding Board Membe	0 0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0/2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0
0% 1 0/1 0% 0/1 0/2 0/2	0% 1 0/1 0% 0/1 0/2 0/2	0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0 0 uding Board Membe	0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0/2 0 uding Board Membe
1 0/1 0% 1 0/1 0/2 0/2	1 0/1 0% 0/2 0/2	1 0/1 0% 1 0/1 0/2 0/2 0 0	1 0/1 0% 1 0/1 0/2 0/2 0 0
0/1 0% 0/1 0/2 0/2	0/1 0% 0/1 0/2 0/2	0/1 0% 1 0/1 0% 0 0 0 uding Board Membe	0/1 0% 1 0/1 0% 0 0 0 Inding Board Membe
0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0	0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0	0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0	0% 1 0/1 0% 2 0/2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0/1 0% 0/2 0/2	0/1 0% 0/2 0/2	1 0/1 0/2 0/2 0 uding Board Membe	0/1 0% 0/2 0/2 0 uding Board Membe
0/1 0% 0/2 0/2 0/2 0	0/1 0% 2 0/2 0/2 0	0% 2 0/2 0 0 uding Board Membe	0% 2 0/2 0 0 uding Board Membe
0/2	0/2	0% 0/2 0	0% 0 0 luding Board Membe
0/2	0/2	0 0 luding Board Membe	0 0 luding Board Membe
0 0	0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0	0	0 Inding Board Member	0 Inding Board Member
0	0	0 Inding Board Member	luding Board Member
		uding Board Member	luding Board Member
		uding Board Members	luding Board Members
Additional workload included in Total Hearings Held:	Additional workload included in Total Hearings Held:	Additional workload included in Total Hearings Held: Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members	Additional workload included in Total Hearings Held: Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members FY 90 FY 91 FY 92 FY 93 FY 94 1.8/39 1.8/39 1.8/39 1.8/39
		Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members	
		Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members	
		Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members	
		Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members	
		Board Budget in Millions/Number of Staff including Board Members	20.70
200			

BOARD MEMBERS

CARROL de BROEKERT, a resident of Goodyear, Arizona was appointed to the Board in 1999 and reappointed to the Board in 2000. Mr. De Broekert was the Chairman and CEO of Southwest Venture Capital Network from 1987 to 1999. Between 1984 and 1987 he was the Vice President and Dean of Palo Verde Community College in Blythe, California. During the years between 1969 and 1984 he rose through the ranks to become the Commissioner of Oregon's Community College system. Mr. De Broekert also dedicates time to civic and community activities. He has served as a Planning and Zoning Commission member for the City of Goodyear, he chaired the City's Utility Cost Study Committee for 3 years, and has held numerous other committee posts for the City. Mr. De Broekert is a member of the White Tanks Rotary Club having served as the President and Treasurer as well as Rotarian of the Year. His education includes a Bachelor of Arts in Political Science, a Masters in History and Political Science and a Ph.D. in Educational Administration.

BARBARA-LYNN GARZA, a resident of Phoenix, Arizona, was appointed to the Board in February 2000 by Governor Hull. Ms. Garza has worked in several areas of the criminal justice system, most recently as a member of the Juvenile Justice Commission in San Diego County and in the past as a caseflow management specialist for the Arizona Supreme Court. She also served with the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission and as a caseworker for Child Protective Services. Additionally, Ms. Garza has worked with children and youth in psychiatric treatment settings and she teaches Freshman Composition at Mesa Community College. Her education includes an Associate degree from Phoenix College; a Bachelor's in English and a Masters of Science in Justice Studies from Arizona State University. She participated in the 1989 Arizona Town Hall on Mental Health, the 1992 National Youth Gang Symposium, the 1995 Comprehensive Strategy for Serious, Violent and Chronic Juvenile Offenders and contributed to the 1992 Arizona Town Hall on Violence. Immediately prior to her appointment to the Board, Mr. and Ms. Garza celebrated the birth of her first child.

EDITH RICHARDSON, a resident of Scottsdale, Arizona, was appointed to the Board in February 1999 with a term expiration date of January 2004. Prior to her appointment to the Board, Ms. Richardson served as the Director of Community Relations for the Arizona Attorney General's Office. In the early 90's, she was the Director for Candidate Development for the Arizona Republican Party and a Political and Business Consultant. Additionally, Ms. Richardson served as a Special Assistant to Governor Mecham with oversight responsibility for the Departments of Economic Security, Revenue, Racing and the Lottery Commission. She was the District Representative for Congressman Conlan when the 4th Congressional District was first formed and was also the first female Executive Director of the Arizona Republican Party. Ms. Richardson also dedicates time to civic and community activities as a member of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Executive Posse, the Scottsdale Historical Society, Minister of Care and Hospitality at St. Patrick's Church in Scottsdale, and a 1988 Arizona Town Hall Participant. Ms. Richardson graduated as a Registered Nurse from Good Samaritan School of Nursing located in Cincinnati, Ohio.

Arizona State Board Of Executive Clemency FY2000 Annual Report Page 7 of 9 MARSHALL H. PORTER. A resident of Phoenix Arizona for over 25 years is the most recent addition to the Board. He was appointed in April 0f 2002. Prior to retiring from Honeywell in 1999 Mr. Porter held positions as a Program Manager and Contract Administrator for 23 years. While working at Honeywell Mr. Porter was active in various volunteer community organizations, some of which he continues to support: State Foster Care Review Board, Arizona State Juvenile Justice Coordinating sub-committee: Paradise Valley Unified School District Community Human Relations Diversity Council; Gompers Center for Disabled Children and Adults. His education includes a Masters of Business Administration from UCLA, and an undergraduate Business degree from California State College at Los Angeles.

Arizona State Board Of Executive Clemency FY2000 Annual Report Page 8 of 9

PAST MEMBERS OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

MEMBERS'S NAME	APPOINTED	RE-APPOINTED	TERM
W.W. Witt	1966		1966-67
Donald Welker	1966	1968	1966-73
William P. Reilly	1966	-	1966-70
A. Alan Hanshaw	1966		1966-71
	1966	-	1966-69
Peter Byrne	1967		1967-72
Art Van Haren	1969	1971	1969-73
Walter Michaels	1969	1971	1969-74
Abraham Cruz	1969	1972	1969-75
Keith Edwards	1971		1971-75
Walter Jacobs Daniel Simmons	1973	1976	1973-78
	1974		1974-75
Olive O'Kier	1975	-	1975-78
Barnetta Anderson	1975	1978, 1981	1975-85
Robert L. Araza	1978	1984, 1989	1989-91
Arter L. Johnson	1978	1979	1978-80
Jerry Thompson	1978	1980	1978-81
Carol Pavilack	1978	1982	1978-87
John Sloss	1981	1985	1981-89
Richard M. Ortiz	1983	1985	1983-89
Patricia V. Gilbert	1984	1963	1984-88
Robert W. Kennerly		1987	1984-89
Ron Johnson	1984	1987	1986-90
Ray R. Flores	1986		1989-90
Robert L. Araza	1989		1989-91
Luis M. Vega	1989		1991-92
Ruben Coronado	1991		1991-92
Jones Osborn	1991		1987-92
Frank R. Startzell	1987		1992-93
Craig R. Runbeck	1992		1989-94
Robert L. Tucker	1989		1990-95
Anna May Riddell	1990		1993-98
Tom Freestone	1993	1993	1989-98
Stan F. Turley	1989	1993	1994-99
Donna Flanigan	1994		1995-00
Howard M. Jarrett	1995	1997	1992-00
Edward Leyva	1992	1997	1991-01
Kathryn Brown	1991	1996	1992-02
Duane Belcher	1992	1997	2001-06
John Waugh	2001		2001-00

PRESENT MEMBERS OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

MEMBER'S NAME	APPOINTED	RE-APPOINTED	TERM
Edith Richardson	1999		1999-04
Carrol de Broekert	1999	2000	1999-05
Barbara Lynn Garza	2000	2002	2002-07
Marshall Porter	2002		2002-07

Arizona State Board Of Executive Clemency FY2000 Annual Report Page 9 of 9