

Annual Report Fiscal Year 1995-1996

PPB1.1:995/96

ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

Annual Report Fiscal Years 1995-1996

Honorable Fife SymingtonGovernor

Duane Belcher, Sr. Chairman

Members

Kathryn D. Brown Donna Flanigan Tom Freestone Howard Jarrett Edward M. Leyva Stan F. Turley FIFE SYMINGTON GOVERNOR

MICHAEL D. GARVEY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR



ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

1645 WEST JEFFERSON SUITE 326 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007 (602) 542-5656 FAX (602) 542-5680

DUANE BELCHER, SR. CHAIRMAN

MEMBERS
KATHRYN D. BROWN
TOM FREESTONE
EDWARD M. LEYVA
ANNA MAY RIDDELL
STAN F. TURLEY
DONNA FLANIGAN

THE HONORABLE FIFE SYMINGTON

Governor of the State of Arizona and

MEMBERS OF THE FORTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE

On behalf of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency, I have the honor of submitting this report covering the period July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1996. This year has been a challenge for the Board with new legislation and a larger number of scheduled hearings.

The major impact on the Board's hearing schedule during this last year was due to Disproportionality Review. These hearings continued through December, 1995. Despite the increased workload, the Board has successfully met all statutory requirements prior to the repealing of this law in June 1996.

The Arizona Board of Executive Clemency has operated with the mission of assuring public safety. Each Board member has attended the New Board Member Training provided by the National Institute for Corrections in Boulder, Colorado early in their tenure. This allowed an opportunity to share information with other professionals in the field.

Respectfully submitted,

Quane Books In

Duane Belcher, Sr. Chairman

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Mission Statement	1
History of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency	2
Board Members and Résumés	3
Organization of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency	5
Organizational Chart	6
Parole Guidelines and Structured Decision Making	7
Present Operations of the Board	8
Types of Hearings Conducted by the Board	10
Fiscal Year Statistical Report	11
Past & Present Members of the Board of Executive Clemency	39



The mission of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency is to assure public safety by releasing only eligible inmates and send to the Governor only those Executive Clemency recommendations which are in the best interest of the citizens of Arizona.

HISTORY OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

Change and adaptation best characterize the history of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency. The state of Arizona first afforded inmates the opportunity for parole in 1901. The Board of Control functioned as the state's discretionary releasing mechanism and consisted of the Governor; Territorial Auditor; and one citizen appointed by the Governor. The Board of Control retained its releasing authority until the first Criminal Code became effective in October of 1913.

In 1913, the Legislature established the **Board of Pardons and Paroles**, which assumed the discretionary releasing function of its predecessor, the Board of Control. Board membership consisted of a citizen appointed by the Governor to serve as Board chairperson; the Superintendent of Public Instruction; and the Attorney General. Board composition remained unchanged for the next five decades.

The Legislature expanded Board membership to five part-time members in 1966. The Governor appointed all members to five-year terms. In 1968, the Legislature amended this expansion and created a Board comprised of three full-time members. The Governor appointed all members to three-year terms. All appointments, however, became subject to Senate confirmation. A full decade passed before the Board experienced change in its composition.

In 1978, the Legislature increased Board size to five full-time members. The Governor appointed all members to five-year terms, and appointments remained subject to Senate confirmation. This legislative action coincided with the passage of the new Criminal Code.

The Legislature again expanded Board membership to seven full-time members in 1984. This figure represents the number of members who currently sit on the Board. The Governor continues to appoint all members to five-year staggered terms. All appointments remain subject to Senate confirmation.

In 1993, legislation passed which eliminated all Board releases for inmates whose offense was committed after January 1, 1994. In addition, the Board's name changed from **Board of Pardons and Paroles** to the **Board of Executive Clemency**.

Arizona Revised Statutes §31-401 and subsequent sections detail the operating authority and duties of the Arizona Board of Executive Clemency.

Board Members for the Period July 1, 1995 - June 30, 1996

DUANE BELCHER, SR., a resident of Tucson, Arizona, was appointed as Board Chairman in September 1993. He was originally appointed to the Board in August 1992 by Governor Symington. Mr. Belcher brings to the Board 21 years of a wide variety of experience in the Criminal Justice field. After receiving his Bachelor of Science Degree in 1971 from Central State University in Wilberforce, Ohio, he worked as a probation/parole officer in the Cleveland, Ohio area until his relocation to the state of Arizona in 1980. He began working for Arizona State Government in 1980 as a correctional service officer and was promoted through the ranks to his last Department of Corrections position as the supervisor of the Home Arrest program. Mr. Belcher is a member of the following professional organizations: National Association of Blacks in Criminal Justice; Arizona Probation, Parole and Correctional Officers Association; and the American Correctional Association. He has given presentations to several high schools in the Tucson area regarding the Criminal Justice field.

KATHRYN D. BROWN, the first Afro-American female appointed to the Board by Governor Symington on April 8, 1992 and reappointed on May 28, 1996, is also the Vice Chairperson for the Board. Ms. Brown comes to the Board with 14 years of experience with the Department of Corrections starting as a Corrections Service Officer in 1978. Ms. Brown previously worked as a Correctional Program Officer I and II and Correctional Program Supervisor supervising the Adult Parole Office in eastern Maricopa County. She is a native of Arizona, born in Phoenix and raised in Phoenix, Los Angeles and Long Beach, California. She attended Arizona State University and has a B.A. degree in Sociology. She is a member of the Metro Tech VIP, Law Enforcement Committee and has given presentations at the Law Enforcement Academy to students with an interest in criminal justice. She is also a member of National Association of Blacks in Criminal Justice, Phoenix Chapter and worked as Chair of NABCJ 1989 training conference. Additional organizational memberships are Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority, Inc., Delta Beta Omega Chapter, SGI International, US A, Rocky Mountain Territory, Arizona Headquarters and the Black Women's Task Force. Ms. Brown also volunteers her services withe the Black Nurses Association at health fairs and seminars throughout the valley.

DONNA FLANIGAN, was appointed to the Board in May, 1994 by Governor Symington. Ms. Flanigan comes to the Board after serving six years with the Roosevelt School District. She has over eight years in the field of real estate, serves as a member of the Arizona Department of Public Safety, Maricopa County Sheriff's Executive Posse, Critical Incident Review Board and currently serves as a community activist. Mrs. Flanigan has been married to Dan Flanigan for 42 years and has four children and eleven grandchildren, she is a native of Arizona born in Woodruff.

TOM FREESTONE, was appointed to the Board in April 1993 by Governor Symington. Mr. Freestone served for 15 years as a County Supervisor for Maricopa County, District 1 and served as Chairman of the Board in 1981, 1985, 1988, 1989 and 1991. He served four years as the Maricopa County Recorder and he also served as the Manager of the Maricopa County Auto License Bureau. He is also experienced in Real Estate sales and has owned several businesses including Freestone Travels, Inc. and Freestone Supply Company. Mr. Freestone graduated from Mesa High School and attended Brigham Young University and Arizona State University. He has been very active in civic affairs including service with the Mesa United Way, Maricopa Association of Governments, Boy Scouts of America, Y.M.C.A. and many other community based programs. Mr. Freestone has served on 51 Boards, Committees and Commissions, was a delegate to the Helsinki Commission and was Mesa's 1990 Man Of The Year. Mr. Freestone is married to the former Phyllis Rogers and has four children and seven grandchildren.

HOWARD JARRETT, was appointed to the Board in October 1995 by Governor Symington. Mr. Jarrett brings to the board over thirty years experience in the Criminal Justice System within Maricopa County. Mr. Jarrett has fourteen years experience with the Phoenix Police Department, within such time he served as Detective, Administrative Aid, Fatal Accident Investigator, Traffic Enforcement and Patrolman. Since August 1974, Mr. Jarrett has been a Supervising Investigator for the Maricopa County Public Defenders Office, and a Volunteer Hearing Officer for civil traffic offenses and small claims since October 1992. Mr. Jarrett is currently the Chairman of the Psychiatric Security Review Board, founding member of the Law Enforcement Rodeo Association, member and past president of the Black Canyon Saddle Club. Mr. Jarrett is a church member and Sunday School President, resident of Maricopa County for 34 years and a resident of Mesa, Arizona for eight years.

EDWARD M. LEYVA, was appointed to the Board in June 1992 by Governor Symington. Mr. Leyva served Maricopa County as a Deputy Sheriff for 22 years, retiring with the rank of Major on May 31, 1992. During his career, he worked all facets of law enforcement. His most recent assignment was that of Commander of the Community Services Division, which is responsible for all training of personnel, search and rescue functions, community relations programs and

liaison to the Sheriff's posse and reserve personnel. He has been actively involved with local and national law enforcement associations during his career and has held a Board position. Mr. Leyva is a former U.S. Army Paratrooper having served with the 173rd Airborne Brigade and the 101st Airborne Division between January 1963 and January 1966. He has an A.A. Degree in Police Science Administration from Glendale Community College, B.A. Degree in Administration of Justice from Golden Gate University, San Francisco, California and a M.S. Degree in Police Science Administration from Northern Arizona University. He is a certified Community College instructor and substitute teacher. Mr. Leyva has been married to Donna Ruiz for 32 years. They have six adult children and four grandchildren.

ANNA MAY RIDDELL, was appointed to the Board by Governor Mofford in March, 1990. She has been involved in the field of corrections for over 14 years, beginning her career as a volunteer probation officer for the Maricopa County Juvenile Detention Center. Prior to her appointment, Mrs. Riddell was employed by the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office as the Administrator of Inmate Services where her responsibilities were that of a Division Commander overseeing all inmate educational and self-help programs, Chaplain and legal services as well as library and canteen services. She developed and supervised the Volunteer Program which consisted of 200+ volunteers. She also worked for the Arizona Department of Corrections for 4 years. Mrs. Riddell also served as a Planner and Researcher for the M.C.S.O. for two and a half years. She has been actively involved with local and national corrections associations during her career, holding numerous elected Board positions. Mrs. Riddell possesses a Masters Degree in Corrections and a Bachelor of Science degree in Sociology from Northern Arizona University and graduated Phi Kappa Phi. She also holds an Associates Degree in the Administration of Justice from Yavapai Community College. She has published several articles pertaining to correctional issues, as well as receiving five National Association of Counties Program Development Awards. Her most recent volunteer activities include teaching weekly classes pertaining to Domestic Violence and Anger Control issues. She serves on the Board of Directors for "New Life for Girls" a substance abuse treatment center for women. Mrs. Riddell's term ended in September 1995.

STAN F. TURLEY, was appointed to the Board by Governor Mofford in March, 1989 and re-appointed by Governor Symington in May 1993. He had served the citizens of Arizona for 14 years as a member of the State Senate, four of those years as Senate President and also served eight years as a member of the House of Representatives, two of those years as Speaker of the House. Mr. Turley was employed by 1st Interstate Bank in the Livestock and Agriculture Department for 19 years. He also was a self-employed farmer and rancher for 20 years. Mr. Turley served two years in the U.S. Air Corps during World War II and also served a two year mission for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints. He is Native of Arizona, born in Snowflake andraised on Sundown Ranch. He attended Airpine Elementary School, Snowflake High School and Brigham Young University. Mr. Turley has been married to Cleo Olson for fifty years. They have seven children and twenty-eight grandchildren.

ORGANIZATION OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

The seven Board Members are supported by a full-time staff. The staff is comprised of:

Executive Director - The Executive Director is responsible for the administrative, operational and financial functions for the Board.

Assistant Executive Director - The Assistant Executive Director is the direct supervisor of the hearing officers, case analysts and support staff.

Research and Statistical Analyst II - The Research and Statistical Analyst is responsible for implementation and monitoring of Structured Decision Making, maintaining statistical data on hearings conducted by the Board, and for special research projects.

Program and Project Specialist II - The Program and Project Specialist II drafts policies and procedures, is the Board's Public, legislative and Attorney General Liaison, and provides research on law suits involving the Board for the Attorney General's Office. Writes the Agency's strategic plan, annual report, and completes special projects.

Fiscal Services Specialist III - The Fiscal Services Specialist III is responsible for all personnel actions, writing and monitoring the Agency's budget, and control of expenditures of the Agency.

Hearing Officer - The Hearing Officer positions conduct probable cause hearings for rescission and revocation actions, provide information to the Board for all Executive Clemency actions and prepare reports for inmates certified eligible for other release consideration.

Case Analyst (Administrative Assistant III) - The Case Analysts gather information and prepare reports for the Board's use when considering inmates certified eligible for parole, work furlough and home arrest.


Administrative Support Supervisor II and Administrative Support Supervisor I - The Administrative Support Supervisor II and Administrative Support Supervisor I are responsible for the supervision of the clerical staff. Additionally, the Administrative Support Supervisor II is the problem solving liaison for the Board in matters involving time computation and inmate eligibility.

Clerical Support Positions - The Clerical Support staff provide clerical support to the Board, Administrative Staff and Hearing Officer III positions, prepare packets the Board uses in making release decisions, complete dispositions and proclamations for decisions made by the Board and provide statutorily mandated notifications prior to Board hearings.

Arizona Board of Executive Clemency

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART

JUNE 27, 1996



PAROLE GUIDELINES AND STRUCTURED DECISION MAKING

Structured Decision Making involves the development of guidelines that provide the Board with a common framework in which to make decisions. SDM guidelines consist of explicitly stated goals; policy statements; and measurement instruments designed to systematically assess an inmate's suitability for release. Risk Assessment and Offense Severity function as two of the core components of SDM.

I. Risk Assessment

The risk assessment component assesses the likelihood that an inmate may commit a new felony offense while on a Board release. While no Board member or measurement instrument can predict an inmate's future behavior with total confidence, the best measure of the future still remains the past. As such, the Board conducted a study on 1988 releases to identify those factors predictive of success or failure on release. Four factors emerged as significant predictors of success or failure. These factors include:

- (1) Age at First Juvenile Incarceration
- (2) Current Age at Time of Hearing
- (3) History of Property Offenses
- (4) ADOC Institutional Risk Score

The combination of these factors results in a score that indicates an inmate's likelihood to commit a new offense once released. The higher the risk score, the greater the likelihood to re-offend. Board analysts score inmates for risk and place them into one of four risk assessment groups.

II. Offense Severity

The offense severity component involves an initial determination of an inmate's most serious offense behavior, and the subsequent placement of this offense behavior into one of eight severity levels. The most serious offense behavior reflects what the offender actually did. Board analysts determine the most serious offense behavior based on official documents. Official documents include pre-sentence investigation reports; police reports; court documents; and any additional probation/parole officer reports. The Board however, makes the ultimate determination of the most serious offense behavior. Inmates will have the opportunity to provide information as it pertains to the most serious offense behavior.

After considerable discussion, the Board reached a consensus on the placement of offense behaviors into eight severity levels. Level of victimization and amount of property or drugs involved in the offense functioned as primary criteria for the ranking of offense behaviors.

Severity level 1 contains the most serious offense behaviors and severity level 8 contains the least serious offense behaviors. Board analysts locate the corresponding severity level for the most serious offense behavior.

Board analysts then enter the risk assessment group and the offense severity level on the **Guidelines Classification**Matrix. Each cell on the matrix contains a percentage that Board analysts apply to the conviction sentence length. They use this percentage to calculate the amount of additional time, if applicable, an inmate may need to serve beyond his or her release eligibility date to be within the SDM recommended time frame for release.

The Board uses SDM guidelines for all hearings except for revocation and rescission hearings; Executive Clemency recommendations; those inmates whose most serious offense behavior or conviction offense is of a sexual nature; and those inmates whose conviction offenses include first or second degree murder (A.R.S. §13-1105 and 13-1104, respectively).

PRESENT OPERATIONS OF THE BOARD ENACTED BY SB1250 DISPROPORTIONALITY REVIEW

In 1994, legislation was enacted requiring the Board to review the sentence of inmates who are incarcerated under the jurisdiction of the state department of corrections and whose felony offense was committed on or before December 31, 1993.

Inmates who are incarcerated under the jurisdiction of the state Department of Corrections must meet all of the initial eligibility requirements set forth in laws 1994, Chapter 365, §1.

Once eligibility has been determined, the hearings are conducted in two phases. The Chairman may prescribe that the two phases be combined in a single hearing.

Phase I is conducted inabsentia and the Board's consideration is limited to a determination of whether the sentence imposed is "clearly excessive."

Phase II is conducted at a personal or telephonic hearing. The Board reviews all relevant information and determines whether to recommend a Commutation of Sentence to the Governor.

As of June 30, 1996, the Board of Executive Clemency has scheduled and conducted hearings for a total of 675 inmates. From this total number of inmates heard, 72 inmates have been recommended to the Governor for Commutation of Sentence.

The Board of Executive Clemency completed all scheduled hearings by December 31, 1995.

PRESENT OPERATIONS OF THE BOARD ENACTED BY HB2116 PAROLE ELIGIBILITY

In 1994, legislation was enacted requiring a minimum of three votes for the release of an inmate on parole, home arrest or work furlough if the inmate was sentenced for a serious offense as defined in A.R.S. §13-604.T.a. through k.

Establishes the criteria an inmate must meet to be approved for release on work furlough. The Board must determine that there is a substantial probability the inmate will remain at liberty without violating the law and that the release is in the best interests of the state.

Adds to the home arrest release criteria that, in addition to the Board determination that there is a substantial probability the inmate will remain at liberty without violating the law, the release must be in the best interests of the state.

TYPES OF HEARINGS CONDUCTED BY THE BOARD

ABSOLUTE DISCHARGE FROM PAROLE (A.R.S. §31-414) The Board may discharge a person from parole supervision prior to the expiration date of the parole pursuant to A.R.S. §31-414. Generally, the Parole Division of the Department of Corrections recommends the discharge to the Board because of exceptional performance while on supervision.

COMMUTATION OF SENTENCE (A.R.S. §31-411, 31-443, 31-445, 31-446) A change or modification of a sentence imposed by the court. The Board assumes that sentences imposed by the court are fair and correct. However, sometimes circumstances occur during incarceration which indicate that justice would be better served if a commutation were recommended to the Governor. The Governor may only grant a commutation of sentence upon recommendation by the Board (A.R.S. §31-402).

DISPROPORTIONALITY REVIEW (41st Legislature, SB1250, Ch. 365, §1) A disproportionality review of inmates who are incarcerated under the jurisdiction of the state Department of Corrections and who meet all initial eligibility requirements of this chapter. The Board of Executive Clemency shall complete all disproportionality reviews by December 31, 1995. This act is repealed June 30, 1996.

EARLY PAROLE (A.R.S. §41-1604.11.K) A conditional release from incarceration before the inmate has reached his general parole eligibility date. This type of release consideration is used when there is a lack of bed space within the Department of Corrections' institutions. An inmate eligible for release under this statute may be considered for parole, home arrest or work furlough.

GENERAL PAROLE (A.R.S. §31-412.A) A conditional release from incarceration which entitles the parolee to serve the remainder of his term outside the confines of a penal institution if he satisfactorily complies with all the terms and conditions provided in the parole order.

HOME ARREST (A.R.S. §41-1604.13) A program for those inmates who are eligible to complete their prison sentence in the community. The Home Arrest program is a restrictive program designed to confine an inmate to his residence using active electronic monitoring surveillance and the supervision of a Home Arrest officer. A person in the Home Arrest Program is under inmate status and subject to all of the rules and regulations of the Department of Corrections. Except for authorized movements out of the residence, such as employment and mandated treatment programming, the inmate is confined to his residence.

MODIFICATION A hearing held to determine if conditions of release should be modified or changed. A modification hearing is usually held at the request of a parole officer.

PARDON (A.R.S. §31-441, 31-442, 31-443, 31-444, 31-445, 31-446) An act of grace or a remission of guilt, which absolves the convicted felon of the legal consequences of his crime and conviction. A full pardon restores those civil rights which may have been lost as a result of the conviction for which the pardon is granted. A pardon cannot be granted by the Governor unless it has first been recommended by the Board (A.R.S. §31-402).

PAROLE TO A CONSECUTIVE SENTENCE OR ANOTHER JURISDICTION (A.R.S. §31-412.B) A mechanism to parole an inmate to a consecutive sentence to be served in an institution or parole to another jurisdiction.

REPRIEVE (A.R.S. §31-443, 31-444, 31-445, 31-446) A delay or temporary suspension of the carrying out of punishment. The Governor may only grant a reprieve upon recommendation of the Board (A.R.S. §31-402).

RESCISSION a withdrawal of an action previously granted by the Board before the inmate actually enters the action status.

REVOCATION (A.R.S. §31-415, 31-416, 31-417) A withdrawal of a release status after the inmate has entered the action status.

WORK FURLOUGH (A.R.S. §41-1604.11) A release from the prison which allows the inmate to maintain gainful employment and pay restitution to the victim of his offense.

1

ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY FISCAL YEAR STATISTICAL REPORT FISCAL YEAR 1995-96

Michael D. Garvey Executive Director Duane Belcher, Sr. Chairman

MEMBERS:

Kathryn D. Brown Donna Flanigan Tom Freestone Howard Jarrett Edward M. Leyva Stan F. Turley

A. TOTAL HEARINGS SCHEDULED AND HELD BY HEARING TYPE

HEARING TYPE	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HEARD	TOTAL # OF SCHEDULED HEARINGS
412A	3	33.3	6	66.7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
412B(CS)	1	16.7	5	83.3	6	3	3	100.0	0	0	2	8
WF/HA	444	56.9	336	43.1	780	721	416	57.7	305	42.3	103	883
412A/HA	2	11.1	16	88.9	18	2	2	100.0	0	0	10	28
EP	11	31.4	24	68.6	35	28	10	35.7	18	64.3	17	52
EP/WF/HA	203	42.9	270	57.1	473	393	189	48.1	204	51.9	357	830
EP/HA	1	4.3	22	95.7	23	5	0	0	5	100.0	25	48
WF	6	33.3	12	66.7	18	4	0	0	4	100.0	11	29
EP/WF	3	20.0	12	80.0	15	3	0	0	3	100.0	17	32
412A/AD/HA	1668	36.0	2963	64.0	4631	3608	2047	56.7	1561	43.3	2488	7119
412A/AD	270	33.8	528	66.2	798	464	269	58.0	195	42.0	411	1209
412B(DET)/AD	45	55.6	36	44.4	81	67	31	46.3	36	53.7	33	114
412B(CS)/AD	365	45.2	443	54.8	808	: 608	355	58.4	253	41.6	176	984
BD.REV.(HA/N)	130	100.0	0	0	130	0	0	0	0	0	90	220
BD.REV.(WF/N)	84	100.0	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	298	382
BD.REV.(HA/S)	39	100.0	0	0	39	0	0	0	0	0	35	74
BD.REV.(WF/S)	47	100.0	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	124	171
SET CONDITIONS												
OF SUPERVISION	N 0	0	0	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
TOTALS	3322	41.6	4673	58.4	8071	5906	3322	56.2	2584	43.8	4197	12268

The Board rendered decisions and set conditions of supervision for 65.8 percent of the hearings scheduled during FY95-96. Of those hearings held that required a decision (7995 cases), 41.6 percent of the decisions resulted in grants and 58.4 percent of the decisions resulted in denials. Please note that hearings held to set the conditions of community supervision for "Truth-In-Sentencing" inmates do not require the Board to render a decision. Calculations for grant and denial percentages therefore, exclude these hearings.

The Board used Structured Decision Making (SDM) in 73.9 percent of the hearings held that required a decision. Of those hearings held that used SDM (5906 cases), 56.2 percent of the decisions fell within and 43.8 percent of the decisions fell outside the guidelines.

B. TOTAL HEARINGS SCHEDULED AND HELD BY CERTIFICATION TYPE

CERTIFICATION TYPE	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HEARD	TOTAL # OF SCHEDULED HEARINGS
412A	3	33.3	6	66.7	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	10
412B(CS)	1	16.7	5	83.3	6	3	3	100.0	0	0	2	8
WF/HA	445	57.0	336	43.0	781	721	416	57.7	305	42.3	104	885
412A/HA	2	11.1	16	88.9	18	2	2	100.0	0	0	10	28
EP	15	38.5	24	61.5	39	28	10	35.7	18	64.3	23	62
WF	23	65.7	12	34.3	35	4	0	0	4	100.0	13	48
ЕР/НА	18	45.0	22	55.0	40	5	0	0	5	100.0	86	126
EP/WF/HA	203	42.9	270	57.1	473	393	189	48.1	204	51.9	357	830
EP/WF	18	60.0	12	40.0	30	3	0	0	3	100.0	22	52
412A/AD/HA	1775	37.5	2963	62.5	4738	3608	2047	56.7	1561	.43.3	2808	7546
412A/AD	409	43.6	528	56.4	937	464	269	58.0	195	42.0	562	1499
412B(DET)/AD	45	55.6	36	44.4	81	67	31	46.3	36	53.7	33	114
412B(CS)/AD	365	45.2	443	54.8	808	608	355	58.4	253	41.6	176	984
TOTALS	3322	41.6	4673	58.4	7995	5906	3322	56.2	2584	43.8	4197	12192

MOMAT # URADINGC

C. NUMBER AND TYPE OF RELEASE GRANTED BY CERTIFICATION TYPE

	FICATION	GRANT 412A	GRANT 412B(CS)	GRANT HA	GRANT WF	GRANT EP	GRANT 412B(DET)	GRANT ABS.DISCH.(CERT)	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
412A		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
412B((CS)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
WF/HA	1	0	0	109	336	0	0	0	445	425	278	147
412A/	'HA	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0
EP		0	0	0	0	15	0	0	15	9	9	0
WF		0	0	0	23	0	0	0	23	1	0	1
EP/HA	1	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	18	0	0	0
EP/WF	7/HA	0	0	47	99	57	0	0	203	179	179	0
EP/WF	,	0	0	0	8	10	0	0	18	0	0	0
412A/	'AD/HA	1140	0	634	0	0	0	1	1775	1453	790	663
412A/	'AD	408	0	0	0	0	0	1	409	161	96	65
412B((DET)/AD	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	45	40	10	30
412B((CS)/AD	0	365	0	0	0	0	. 0	365	319	218	101
TOTAL	ùs	1551	366	792	466	100	45	2	3322	2589	1582	1007

The Board used SDM in 77.9 percent of the hearings that resulted in grants. Of those releases granted that used SDM (2589 cases), 61.1 percent of the decisions fell within and 38.9 percent fell outside the guidelines.

D. PROFILE OF REASONS OFFERED FOR RELEASES GRANTED OUTSIDE THE GUIDELINES: PART 1

TYPE OF RELEASE GRANTED	STRONG RELEASE PLAN	HEALTH FACTORS	POSITIVE DEMEANOR DURING HEARING	PASSIVE/ MINOR PARTICIPATION IN OFFENSE	OFFENDER AGE	EXTERNAL FACTORS PROVOKED/ PRECIPITATED OFFENSE
412A	32	2	306	6	21	1
412B(CS)	1	0	73	2	7	0
WF	6	2	54	0	0	0
на	25	4	270	4	29	0
412B(DET)	0	0	13	0	1	0
TOTALS	64	8	716	12	58	1

E. PROFILE OF REASONS OFFERED FOR RELEASES GRANTED OUTSIDE THE GUIDELINES: PART 2

TYPE OF RELEASE GRANTED	UNDER DURESS	STRONG FAMILY/ COMMUNITY SUPPORT SYSTEM	GOOD/ EXCELLENT PROGRAMMING	GOOD/ EXCELLENT INSTITUTIONAL BEHAVIOR	HISTORY OF GOOD PERFORMANCE PRIOR PROB/PAROLE
412A	1	167	275	270	0
412B(CS)	0	13	45	40	1
WF `	0	51	66	71	0
HA	1	189	202	157	0
412B(DET)	0	2	8	10	0
TOTALS	2	422	596	548	1

The Board used these reasons in various combinations. Some reasons occurred more frequently than others. This explains why the summation of these figures exceeds the actual # of releases granted outside the guidelines.

In addition to the reasons cited above, the Board offered the following reasons:

Improved institutional behavior
Sentence length/incarceration length
Time served
Health reasons (mental or physical)
Maturity level and attitude toward return to society
Limited criminal history
To detainer (USINS or other U.S. jurisdiction)
DOC staff recommendation (i.e. good attitude/work habits and evaluations)

No substance abuse history
Parents' health issues
Starting to program - shows improvement
Victim support
To be deported
Excellent release plan
Comcare support
Entering in-patient program/institutional psychologist testimony
Children's needs
Was out for 13 months w/excellent adjustment-returned due to DOC error
Remorseful
Out of prison for some time awaiting disposition on this case; returned voluntarily
Statewide driver (position of trust)
Springerville town official recommendation

F. NUMBER AND TYPE OF RELEASE DENIED BY CERTIFICATION TYPE: PART 1

CERTIFICATION TYPE	DENY 412A	DENY 412B(CS)	DENY 412A/HA	DENY 412A/ABS.DISCH/HA	DENY 412A/ABS.DISCH.	DENY WF/HA	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
412A	<u> </u>	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
412B(CS)	0	5	0	0	0	Ō	5	2	2	Ô
WF/HA	0	0	0	0	0	336	336	296	138	158
412A/HA	Ō	0	16	0	0	0	16	1	1	0
EP	0	0	0	0	Ō	Ö	24	19	1	18
WF	0	Ō	0	0	0	Ö	12	3	0	3
EP/HA	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	5	0	5
EP/WF/HA	0	0	0	0	0	0	270	214	10	204
EP/WF	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	3	0	3
412A/AD/HA	0	0	0	2963	0	0	2963	2155	1257	898
412A/AD	0	0	0	0	528	0	528	303	173	130
412B(DET)/AD	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	27	21	6
412B(CS)/AD	0	0	0	0	0	0	443	289	137	152
TOTALS	6	5	16	2963	528	336	4673	3317	1740	1577

G. NUMBER AND TYPE OF RELEASE DENIED BY CERTIFICATION TYPE: PART 2

CERTIFICATION TYPE	DENY EP	DENY WF	DENY EP/HA	DENY EP/WF/HA	DENY 412B(DET)	DENY EP/WF	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
412A		0	0		0		6	0	0	
412B(CS)	Ŏ	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	2	0
WF/HA	0	0	0	0	0	0	336	296	138	150
WF/ HA 412A/HA	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	290	136	158
	24	0	0	0	0	0		10	1	0
EP		10	0	0	0	0	24	19	1	18
WF	0	12	0	U	O	0	12	3	0	3
EP/HA	0	0	22	0	0	0	22	5	0	5
EP/WF/HA	0	0	0	270	0	0	270	214	10	204
EP/WF	0	0	0	0	0	12	12	3	0	3
412A/AD/HA	0	0	0	0	0	0	2963	2155	1257	898
412A/AD	0	0	0	0	0	0	528	303	173	130
412B(DET)/AD	0	Ō	Ō	0	0	0	36	27	21	6
412B(CS)/AD	Ō	Ō	0	Ō	0	0	443	289	137	152
TOTALS	24	12	22	270	0	12	4673	3317	1740	1577

The Board used SDM in 71.0 percent of the hearings that resulted in denials. Of those releases denied that used SDM (3317 cases), 52.5 percent of the decisions fell within and 47.5 percent fell outside the guidelines.

The following page profiles the reasons offered by the Board when a decision to deny fell outside the guidelines. The Board used these reasons in various combinations. Some reasons occurred more frequently than others. This explains why the summation of these figures exceeds the actual # of releases denied outside the guidelines.

In addition to the reasons cited on the next page, the Board offered the following reasons:

Illegally entered U.S. and committed crimes
Recent violation/rescission/revocation of community release
Offense behavior poses risk to community
Serious and violent offense
No release plans for Arizona
Needs counseling on issues related to substance abuse
Needs to continue to address anger and grief issues
Inmate only wanted 411 consideration
Unresolved childhood issues
Brokered large drug sale
Of concern was escape

Failed to register as sex offender
Weapon use
Committed new felony while on release/incarcerated
Extensive criminal history
Unresolved mental health issues
Serious disregard for others' property
History of continued substance abuse
Short period of incarceration
Drug use/possession while incarcerated
Large amount of money involved

Of concurrent lifetime probation for child molestation New offense while on court release Didn't want home arrest consideration Continued victim trauma for 8 years Of concern that set occupied house on fire Loss of life Pending disciplinary Instant offense involved large amount of drugs

Repetitive offender
Violated position of trust
Offense involved 64 victims
Involved in drive-by shooting
Failed to comply with conditions of 412B
Offense/drug sales for pecuniary gain
Actions endangered several people
Must enter Comcare
Recent dirty UA
Operated offense activity out of home
Heavy involvement w/drug smuggling across state line

Large quantity of drugs
Recent HA grant - DOC wants rescission due to recent major write-up
Escaped from HA
Poor attitude during hearing/uncooperative with Board
Offense committed in front of small children (victims)
Re-affirms previous HA grant - continue to get placement
Poor performance prior probation/parole
Inmate requested denial

Serious offense
Received major write-up since last hearing
Serious and deviant offense
History of assaultive behavior
Needs counseling for inappropriate behavior w/underage girls
Needs to participate in Marana program
Re-affirm WF grant 09/95
Scheme to bilk 180+ elderly victims out of \$5 million
Escaped from county jail while awaiting transfer to DOC
Victim opposition
Offense involved other family members

H. PROFILE OF REASONS OFFERED FOR RELEASES DENIED OUTSIDE THE GUIDELINES: PART 1

TYPE OF RELEASE DENIED	POOR/NO RELEASE PLAN	POOR DEMEANOR DURING HEARING	LOSS OF HUMAN LIFE	SERIOUS INJURY	VICTIM TRAUMA	VICTIM AGE	MULTIPLE VICTIMS	VICTIM HARASSMENT	REPETITIVE NATURE OF NON-PROPERTY OFFENSES	NEEDS CLOSER SUPERVISION
WF	1	0		0			0	0	0	0
EP	•	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	0	0	2	1 -	1	10	Ü	Ü	1	0
EP/WF/HA	U	1	8	15	12	10	4	0	9	0
WF/HA	1	0	3	6	14	2	4	1	9	1
EP/HA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EP/WF	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
412A/AD	1	0	1	3	7	2	2	0	0	0
412A/AD/HA	8	4	31	59	79	16	16	0	2.5	5
412B(CS)/AD	Ď	1	7	0	14	-6	2	ň	7	Õ
	0	_	,	2	1 1	1	3	0	,	0
412B(DET)/AD	0	U	U	2	1	1	U	U	U	U
TOTALS	11	6	52	94	129	37	29	1	51	6

I. PROFILE OF REASONS OFFERED FOR RELEASES DENIED OUTSIDE THE GUIDELINES: PART 2

TYPE OF RELEASE DENIED	RECENT DISCIPLINARY INFRACTION(S)	HAS NOT ADDRESSED PROBLEMS THAT LED TO CRIM.BEH.	POOR PROGRAM/ WORK PERFORMANCE	POOR INSTITUTIONAL BEHAVIOR	POOR PERFORMANCE ON PRIOR PROBATION/PAROLE	HISTORY OF ASSAULTIVE BEHAVIOR	NO FAMILY/ COMMUNITY SUPPORT SYSTEM	REPETITIVE NATURE OF NON-DUI OFFENSES	HISTORY OF CONTINUED SUBSTANCE ABUSE
WF	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
EP	2	12	0	5	4	2	0	0	4
EP/WF/HA	18	153	2	34	123	22	0	4	95
WF/HA	7	114	1	6	87	10	0	7	89
EP/HA	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
EP/WF	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
412A/AD	8	57	0	23	28	20	0	1	33
412A/AD/HA	89	596	5	202	366	105	1	22	358
412B(CS)/AD	18	90	2	38	48	13	0	3	39
412B(DET)/AD	0	3	0	2	2	1	0	0	2
TOTALS	143	1028	10	310	663	173	1	37	623

J. BOARD REVIEW NON-REVERSION HEARINGS SCHEDULED AND HELD BY INSTITUTIONAL UNIT

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HEARD	TOTAL # OF SCHEDULED HEARINGS
FLOR: PCWC	13	100.0	0		13	13	12	92.3	1	7.7	7	20
PHX:ACW	85	100.0		Ö	85	77	47	61.0	30	39.0	10	95
YUMA	12	100.0		0	12	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	4	16
GLOBE	8	100.0	0	0	8	7	3	42.9	4	57.1	3	11
FT.GRANT	105	100.0	0	0	105	98	61	62.2	37	37.8	15	120
DOUG:MARICOPA	16	100.0	0	0	16	14	10	71.4	4	28.6	2	18
TUCS:SACRC	62	100.0	0	0	62	59	40	67.8	19	32.2	1	63
TUCS: MANZANITA	A 34	100.0	0	0	34	33	18	54.5	15	45.5	4	38
SAFF: GRAHAM	67	100.0	0	0	67	66	50	75.8	16	24.2	12	79
TOTALS	402	100.0	0	0	402	378	248	65.6	130	34.4	58	460

K. BOARD REVIEW NON-REVERSION HEARINGS SCHEDULED AND HELD BY CERTIFICATION TYPE

CERTIFICATION TYPE	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HEARD	TOTAL # OF SCHEDULED HEARINGS
WF/HA	114	100.0	0	0	114	107	65	60.7	42	39.3	12	126
EP	1	100.0	0	0	1	1	1	100.0	0	0	0	1
EP/HA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
EP/WF/HA	36	100.0	0	0	36	34	34	100.0	0	0	3	39
EP/WF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
412A/AD/HA	204	100.0	0	0	204	190	110	57.9	80	42.1	37	241
412A/AD	16	100.0	0	0	16	16	12	75.0	4	25.0	4	20
412B(DET)/AD	2	100.0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	100.0	0	2
412B(CS)/AD	29	100.0	0	0	29	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	0	29
TOTALS	402	100.0	0	0	402	378	248	65.6	130	34.4	58	460

L. PERSONAL HEARINGS SCHEDULED
AND HELD BY CERTIFICATION TYPE
(INCLUDES TELEPHONIC HEARINGS)

CERTIFICATION	TOTAL RELEASES	% OF HELD HEARINGS	TOTAL RELEASES	% OF HELD HEARINGS	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS	HEARINGS HELD USING	# W/IN	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN	# OUTSIDE	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT	TOTAL # OF SCHEDULED
TYPE	GRANTED	GRANTED	DENIED	DENIED	HELD	SDM	GUIDELINES	GUIDELINES	GUIDELINES	GUIDELINES	HEARD	HEARINGS
412A												
	3	33.3	6	66.7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
412B(CS)	1	16.7	5	83.3	6	3	3	100.0	0	0	2	8
WF/HA	330	49.5	336	50.5	666	614	351	57.2	263	42.8	91	757
412A/HA	2	11.1	16	88.9	18	2	2	100.0	0	0	10	28
EP	10	29.4	24	70.6	34	27	9	33.3	18	66.7	17	51
WF	6	33.3	12	66.7	18	4	0	0	4	100.0	11	29
EP/HA	1	4.3	22	95.7	23	5	0	0	5	100.0	24	47
EP/WF/HA	167	38.2	270	61.8	437	359	155	43.2	204	56.8	354	791
EP/WF	3	20.0	12	80.0	15	3	0	0	3	100.0	16	31
412A/AD/HA	1464	33.1	2963	66.9	4427	3418	1937	56.7	1481	43.3	2451	6878
412A/AD	254	32.5	528	67.5	782	448	257	57.4	191	42.6	407	1189
412B(DET)/AD	43	54.4	36	45.6	79	65	31	47.7	34	52.3	33	112
412B(CS)/AD	336	43.1	443	56.9	779	580	329	56.7	251	43.3	176	955
TOTALS	2620	35.9	4673	64.1	7293	5528	3074	55.6	2454	44.4	3592	10885

M. TELEPHONIC HEARINGS SCHEDULED AND HELD (SUBSET OF PERSONAL HEARINGS)

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HEARD	TOTAL # OF SCHEDULED HEARINGS
FLOR: PCWC	40	47.6	44	52.4	84	78	37	47.4	41	52.6	30	114
FLOR:NORTH II	4	21.1	15	78.9	19	11	5	45.5	6	54.5	10	29
YUMA	46	30.9	103	69.1	149	125	66	52.8	59	47.2	85	234
GLOBE	23	31.5	50	68.5	73	52	28	53.8	24	46.2	33	106
DOUG: MARICOPA	51	45.5	61	54.5	112	98	60	61.2	38	38.8	46	158
TUCS:SACRC	15	32.6	31	67.4	46	37	18	48.6	19	51.4	38	84
MARANA (M)	107	51.2	102	48.8	209	204	118	57.8	86	42.2	113	322
MARANA (F)	34	51.5	32	48.5	66	60	30	50.0	30	50.0	25	91
APACHE/ST.JOH	NS 69	38.3	111	61.7	180	150	89	59.3	61	40.7	75	255
PHX-WEST	2	28.6	5	71.4	7	7	4	57.1	3	42.9	3	10
TOTALS	391	41.4	554	58.6	945	822	455	55.4	367	44.6	458	1403

N. BOARD REVIEW HEARINGS SCHEDULED AND HELD BY CERTIFICATION TYPE

HEARING TYPE	CERTIFICATION TYPE	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	GUIDELINES NOT APPLIED	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HEARD	TOTAL # OF SCHEDULED HEARINGS
BD.REV.(HA/N)	412A	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	WF/HA	1	100.0	Ō	0	1	2	ī	2
	WF	16	100.0	0	0	16	17	1	17
	EP/HA	1	100.0	0	0	1	2	1	2
	EP/WF	10	100.0	0	0	10	12	2	12
	412A/AD/HA	4	100.0	0	0	4	8	4	8
	412A/AD	98	100.0	0	0	98	178	80	178
	TOTAL	130	100.0	0	0	130	220	90	220
BD.REV.(WF/N)	EP	2	100.0	0	0	2	3	1	3
	EP/HA	11	100.0	0	0	11	52	41	52
	412A/AD/HA	65	100.0	0	0	65	288	223	288
	412A/AD	6	100.0	0	0	6	39	33	39
	TOTAL	84	100.0	0	0	84	382	298	382
BD.REV.(HA/S)	EP	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	WF	1	100.0	0	0	1	2	1	2
	EP/WF	5	100.0	0	0	5	8	3	8
	412A/AD/HA	1	100.0	0	0	1	2	1	2
	412A/AD	32	100.0	0	0	32	61	29	61
	TOTAL	39	100.0	0	0	39	74	35	74
BD.REV.(WF/S)	EP	2	100.0	0	0	2	6	4	6
•	EP/HA	5	100.0	0	0	5	24	19	24
	412A/AD/HA	37	100.0	0	0	37	129	92	129
	412A/AD	3	100.0	0	0	3	12	9	12
	TOTAL	47	100.0	0	0	47	171	124	171
TOTALS		300	100.0	0	0	300	847	547	847

O. TOTAL HEARINGS SCHEDULED AND HELD BY INSTITUTION

	TOTAL	% OF HELD	TOTAL	% OF HELD	TOTAL #	HEARINGS HELD	#	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	#	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	TOTAL HEARINGS	TOTAL # OF
	RELEASES						W/IN	W/IN	OUTSIDE	OUTSIDE	NOT	SCHEDULED
INSTITUTION	GRANTED	GRANTED	DENIED	DENIED	HELD	SDM	GUIDELINES	GUIDELINES	GUIDELINES	GUIDELINES	HEARD	HEARINGS
FLORENCE	577	30.6	1309	69.4	1886	1433	807	56.3	626	43.7	858	2744
PERRYVILLE	339	36.7	585	63.3	924	757	402	53.1	355	46.9	480	1404
PHOENIX	185 -	49.6	188	50.4	373	315	167	53.0	148	47.0	157	530
AMUY	58	36.0	103	64.0	161	136	73	53.7	63	46.3	89	250
GLOBE	31	38.3	50	61.7	81	59	31	52.5	28	47.5	36	117
TUCSON	635	42.7	851	57.3	1486	1086	619	57.0	467	43.0	521	2007
FORT GRANT	210	57.5	155	42.5	365	327	184	56.3	143	43.7	170	535
DOUGLAS	296	43.1	390	56.9	686	601	360	59.9	241	40.1	379	1065
SAFFORD	173	44.2	218	55.8	391	346	184	53.2	162	46.8	203	594
WINGI ON	157	00.7	200	71 0	F 4 3	400	252	50.0	170	40.0		220
WINSLOW	157	28.7	390	71.3	547	423	253	59.8	170	40.2	231	778
MARANA(M)	107 34	51.2 51.5	102 32	48.8 48.5	209 66	204 60	118 30	57.8 50.0	86	42.2	113	322
MARANA(F) APACHE/ST.JOH		38.3	111	61.7	180	150		59.3	30 61	50.0 40.7	25 75	91
PHOENIX-W	1NS 69	28.6	5	71.4	7	150 7	89 4	57.1	3	42.9	3	255 10
PHOENIX-W	2	20.0	3	/1.4	,	,	4	37.1	3	42.9	3	10
IN-ABSENTIA	21	17.5	99	82.5	120	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	16	136
ABEC ¹	0	0	0	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	76
*** /**	7.0	76.5		00.5	100	•		•	•	•		100
HA/N WF/N	78	76.5	24	23.5	102	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	86	188
WF/N	23	34.3	44	65.7	67	U	U	U	0	0	91	158
HA/S	22	71.0	9	29.0	31	0	0	0	0	0	58	89
WF/S	5	38.5	8	61.5	13	0	0	0	0	0	59	72
BD.REV	300	100.0	0	0	300	0	0	0	0	0	547	847

Non-reversion hearings accounted for 93.6 percent of hearings held that required a decision. Of those non-reversion hearings held (7482 cases), 38.7 percent of the decisions resulted in grants (2894 cases) and 61.3 percent resulted in denials (4588 cases).

Non-reversion hearings exclude hearings held to set the conditions of supervision for "Truth-In-Sentencing" inmates and the following institutions: Board Review, HA/N, WF/N, SR/N, HA/S, WF/S, SR/S.

¹As an institutional unit, ABEC reflects the number of hearings held to set the conditions of community supervision for "Truth-In-Sentencing" inmates.

P. HEARINGS NOT HELD BY INSTITUTION

INSTITUTION	RTA/ WAIVE	ALREADY RELEASED	ESCAPED/ ABSCONDED	INELIGIBLE CERTIF.	OUT TO COURT	CONTINUED HEARING	IN CUSTODY	POSTPONED HEARING	OTHER	NO SHOW	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HELD
				0.1.1							
FLORENCE	526	38	0	214	21	53	0	1	5	0	858
PERRYVILLE	268	39	0	83	12	74	0	1	3	0	480
PHOENIX	107	16	1	24	3	6	0	0	0	0	157
YUMA	66	10	0	8	1	2	0	1	1	0	89
GLOBE	26	0	0	6	0	1	0	2	1	0	36
TUCSON	322	46	2	95	7	39	0	0	10	0	521
FORT GRANT	119	13	0	27	2	6	0	0	2	1	170
DOUGLAS	271	27	0	62	5	11	0	0	3	0	379
SAFFORD	118	22	0	42	4	16	0	0	1	0	203
WINSLOW	157	19	0	37	0	16	0	0	2	0	231
MARANA(M)	93	9	0	7	1	1	0	0	2	0	113
MARANA(F)	19	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
APACHE/ST.JOHNS	56	6	0	6	3	3	0	1	0	0	75
PHOENIX-W	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
IN-ABSENTIA	1	0	0	2	0	11	0	2	0	0	16
HA/N	5	2	1	0	1	63	0	14	0	0	86
WF/N	27	3	1	1	0	52	2	3	2	0	91
HA/S	4	12	2	0	0	33	1	4	2	Ō	58
WF/S	9	1	2	2	0	40	1	2	ō	2	59
BD.REV	450	31	5	14	Ō	3	9	31	4	ō	547
TOTALS	2647	300	14	630	60	430	13	62	38	3	4197

Q. HEARINGS NOT HELD BY CERTIFICATION TYPE

CERTIFICATION TYPE	RTA/ WAIVE	ALREADY RELEASED	ESCAPED/ ABSCONDED	INELIGIBLE CERTIF.	OUT TO COURT	CONTINUED HEARING	IN CUSTODY	POSTPONED HEARING	OTHER	NO SHOW	TOTAL HEARINGS NOT HELD
412A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
412B(CS)	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	Ō	2
WF/HÀ	46	0	0	34	3	17	0	2	2	0	104
412A/HA	6	Ō	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	Ö	10
EP	16	2	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	23
WF	3	0	1	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	13
EP/HA	63	6	0	3	0	10	2	1	1	0	86
EP/WF/HA	278	52	0	14	4	6	0	1	1	1	357
EP/WF	10	3	1	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	22
412A/AD/HA	1878	180	7	459	33	207	7	12	23	2	2808
412A/AD	230	54	5	50	9	158	4	44	8	0	562
412B(DET)/AD	19	1	0	6	3	4	0	0	0	0	33
412B(CS)/AD	97	ī	0	58	7	9	0	ĺ	3	Ō	176
TOTALS	2647	300	14	630	60	430	13	62	38	3	4197

R. PROFILE OF SPECIAL HEARINGS

HEARING TYPE	TOTAL ABSOLUTE DISCHARGES GRANTED	TOTAL ABSOLUTE DISCHARGES DENIED		TOTAL RELEASES NOT RESCINDED	TOTAL RELEASES REVOKED	TOTAL RELEASES NOT REVOKED	TOTAL EXEC.CLEM. RECOMMENDED	TOTAL EXEC.CLEM. NOT RECOMMENDED	TOTAL RELEASES MODIFIED		HEARINGS
ABS.DISCH.		1		0							
	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	,
PARDON	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	3	U	U	3
REPRIEVE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
COMMUTATION	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	45	0	0	67
RESCISSION	0	0	135	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	153
REVOCATION	0	0	0	0	897	109	0	0	Ō	Ō	1006
MODIFICATION	Ō	Ö	Ö	Ö	0	0	Ō	Ö	40	4	44
TOTALS	6	1	135	18	897	109	22	49	40	4	1281

S. SPECIAL HEARINGS: RESCISSIONS

HEARING TYPE	RESCIND 412A	RESCIND WF	RESCIND HA	NOT RESCIND 412A	NOT RESCIND WF	NOT RESCIND HA	TOTAL RESCISSION HEARINGS HELD
							
RESCISSION	70	12	53	8	4	6	153
TOTALS	70	12	53	8	4	6	153

T. SPECIAL HEARINGS: REVOCATIONS

HEARING TYPE	REVOKE 412A	REVOKE WF	REVOKE HA	REVOKE EP	REVOKE 412A,BUT PUT ON HA	REVOKE TIS CSR	NOT REVOKE 412A	NOT REVOKE WF	NOT REVOKE HA	NOT REVOKE EP	TOTAL REVOCATION HEARINGS HELD
REVOCATION	450	227	190	7	2	21	57	20	31	1	1006
TOTALS	450	227	190	7	2	21	57	20	31	1	1006

and the second of the second o

U. SPECIAL HEARINGS: MODIFICATIONS

HEARING TYPE	MODIFY 412A	MODIFY WF	MODIFY HA	MODIFY EP	NOT MODIFY 412A	TOTAL MODIFICATION HEARINGS HELD
						
MODIFICATION	29	4	4	3	4	44
TOTALS	29	4	4	3	4	44

V. SPECIAL HEARINGS: EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

HEARING TYPE	TOTAL ABSOLUTE DISCHARGES GRANTED	TOTAL ABSOLUTE DISCHARGES DENIED	RECOMMEND PHASE 1	RECOMMEND PH1 (TIS)	RECOMMEND PHASE 2	RECOMMEND PH2 (TIS)		NOT RECOMMEND REPRIEVE	NOT RECOMMEND PHASE 1	NOT RECOMMEND PHASE 2	TOTAL EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY HEARINGS
ABS.DISCH	. 6	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7 2
REPRIEVE COMMUTATION	0	0	0	0 5	0 5	0 4	0	1 0	0 41	0 4	1 67
TOTALS	6	1	8	5	5	4	3	1	41	4	78

W. PROBABLE CAUSE HEARINGS

			TOTAL		TOTAL
		PROBABLE	PROBABLE	PROBABLE	PROBABLE
	PROBABLE	CAUSE	CAUSE	CAUSE	CAUSE
FISCAL	CAUSE	NOT	HEARINGS	HEARINGS	HEARINGS
YEAR	FOUND	FOUND	DECIDED	CONTINUED	CONDUCTED
1995-96	58	8	66	8	74

X. EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY PRELIMINARY ACTIONS

FISCAL YEAR	PRELIMINARY ACTION: PARDON	PRELIMINARY ACTION: REPRIEVE	PRELIMINARY ACTION: PHASE I	PRELIMINARY ACTION: PHASE I TIS	PRELIMINARY ACTION: PHASE II	PRELIMINARY ACTION: PHASE II TIS	TOTAL PRELIMINARY ACTIONS
		***		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1995-96	4	8	71	10	19	3	115

Y. SPECIAL ACTIONS

YEAR	COURTESY	DISCHARGE	ACTIONS
YEAR	COURTESY	DISCHARGE	ACTIONS
FISCAL	COMPLETED ACTIONS:	COMPLETED ACTIONS: ABSOLUTE	TOTAL COMPLETED

Z. ANALYST ACTIVITY: NEW REPORTS	•
	L

	FISCAL YEAR	NEW 412A/411/236 REPORTS	NEW 412B (CS & DET) REPORTS	NEW EP REPORTS	NEW WF REPORTS	TOTAL NEW REPORTS
	1995-96	2957	470	394	591	4412
ANALYST ACTIVITY:	UPDATED REPO	RTS				
	FISCAL YEAR	412A/411/236 UPDATED REPORTS	412B (CS & DET) UPDATED REPORTS	EP UPDATED REPORTS	WF UPDATED REPORTS	TOTAL UPDATED REPORTS
	1995-96	3424	496	242	184	4346
ANALYST ACTIVITY:	TOTAL REPORT	rs				
	FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL 412A/411/236 REPORTS	TOTAL 412B (CS & DET) REPORTS	TOTAL EP REPORTS	TOTAL WF REPORTS	TOTAL REPORTS
	1995-96	6381	966	636	775	8758
		YEAR 1995-96 ANALYST ACTIVITY: UPDATED REPO FISCAL YEAR 1995-96 ANALYST ACTIVITY: TOTAL REPORT FISCAL YEAR FISCAL YEAR	FISCAL 412A/411/236 YEAR 412A/411/236 REPORTS 1995-96 2957 ANALYST ACTIVITY: UPDATED REPORTS FISCAL UPDATED REPORTS 1995-96 3424 ANALYST ACTIVITY: TOTAL REPORTS FISCAL 412A/411/236 REPORTS TOTAL 412A/411/236 REPORTS	FISCAL YEAR REPORTS 412B (CS & DET) REPORTS 1995-96 2957 470 ANALYST ACTIVITY: UPDATED REPORTS FISCAL UPDATED REPORTS 1995-96 3424 412B (CS & DET) UPDATED REPORTS 1995-96 3424 496 ANALYST ACTIVITY: TOTAL REPORTS FISCAL 412A/411/236 496 ANALYST ACTIVITY: TOTAL REPORTS FISCAL 412A/411/236 412B (CS & DET) REPORTS TOTAL TOTAL FISCAL 412A/411/236 REPORTS	FISCAL YEAR 412A/411/236 412B (CS & DET) REPORTS	FISCAL YEAR REPORTS REPORTS REPORTS REPORTS REPORTS REPORTS 1995-96 2957 470 394 591 ANALYST ACTIVITY: UPDATED REPORTS FISCAL UPDATED UPDATED UPDATED UPDATED UPDATED REPORTS REPORTS REPORTS 1995-96 3424 496 242 184 ANALYST ACTIVITY: TOTAL REPORTS FISCAL 412A/411/236 412B (CS & DET) REPORTS REPORTS REPORTS REPORTS FISCAL 412A/411/236 412B (CS & DET) EP WF REPORTS

FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL DR PHASE I REPORTS	TOTAL DR PHASE II REPORTS	TOTAL DR REPORTS
	•		
1995-96	502	214	716

²ABEC implemented a new report format effective June 1996.

DISPROPORTIONALITY REVIEW

ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY DISPROPORTIONALITY REVIEW REPORT FISCAL YEAR FY95-96

A. INMATES SCHEDULED AND HEARD AT PHASE I

FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL INMATES PASSED TO PHASE II	% OF ALL INMATES PASSED TO PHASE II	TOTAL INMATES NOT PASSED TO PHASE II	% OF ALL INMATES NOT PASSED TO PHASE II	TOTAL INMATES HEARD	TOTAL INMATES NOT HEARD	TOTAL INMATES SCHEDULED AND HEARD
				······································			
FY95-96	289	42.9	384	57.1	673	2	675
TOTALS	289	42.9	384	57.1	673	2	675

B. CONVICTION OFFENSES SCHEDULED AND HEARD AT PHASE I

FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES PASSED TO PHASE II	% OF ALL CONVICTION OFFENSES PASSED TO PHASE II	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES NOT PASSED TO PHASE II	% OF ALL CONVICTION OFFENSES NOT PASSED TO PHASE II	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES HEARD	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES NOT HEARD	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES SCHEDULED & HEARD
FY95-96	1013	43.6	1313	56.4	2326	13	2339
TOTALS	1013	43.6	1313	56.4	2326	13	2339

ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY DISPROPORTIONALITY REVIEW REPORT FISCAL YEAR FY95-96

C. INMATES SCHEDULED AND HEARD AT PHASE II

FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL INMATES RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	% OF INMATES RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	TOTAL INMATES NOT RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	% OF INMATES NOT RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	TOTAL INMATES HEARD	TOTAL INMATES NOT HEARD	TOTAL INMATES SCHEDULED
			·····		·		
FY95-96	72	25.2	214	74.8	286	25	311
TOTALS	72	25.2	214	74.8	286¹	25	311

The seventy-two (72) inmates heard and recommended to the Governor by the Board at Phase II hearings accounted for 10.7 percent of all inmates heard (673) for disproportionality review during FY95-96.

D. CONVICTION OFFENSES SCHEDULED AND HEARD AT PHASE II

FISCAL YEAR	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	% OF CONVICTION OFFENSES RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES NOT RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	% OF CONVICTION OFFENSES NOT RECOMMENDED TO GOVERNOR	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES HEARD	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES NOT HEARD	TOTAL CONVICTION OFFENSES SCHEDULED
FY95-96	192	18.2	863	81.8	1055	162	1217
TOTALS	192	18.2	863	81.8	1055²	162	1217

The 192 conviction offenses heard and recommended to the Governor by the Board at Phase II hearings accounted for 8.3 percent of all conviction offenses heard (2326) for disproportionality review during FY95-96.

¹Figure includes 29 inmates the Board had continued from previous boards.

²Figure includes 82 conviction offenses the Board had continued from previous boards.

APPENDIX

A. ACTIVITY FOR PANEL "A"

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
FLOR:CENTRAL UNIT	40	44.0	51	56.0	91	78	47	60.3	31	39.7
FLOR:CENTRAL UNIT	12	26.7	33	73.3	45	78 27	15	55.6	12	44.4
FLOR: EAST UNIT	21	36.8	36	63.2	57	41	26	63.4	15	36.6
FLOR: NORTH UNIT	37	27.8	96	72.2	133	64	34	53.1	30	46.9
FLOR:SPU	4	14.8	23	85.2	27	12	6	50.0	6	50.0
FLOR:PCWC#	19	50.0	19	50.0	38	36	15	41.7	21	58.3
FLOR:SMU	28	18.2	126	81.8	154	143	85	59.4	58	40.6
FLOR:CB-6	0	0	8	100.0	8	6	3	50.0	3	50.0
FLOR:RYNNING	28	29.8	66	70.2	94	76	42	55.3	34	44.7
FLOR: COOK	48	41.4	68	58.6	116	88	49	55.7	39	44.3
FLOR: MEADOWS	41	33.3	82	66.7	123	101	58	57.4	43	42.6
FLOR:NORTH II#	3	25.0	9	75.0	12	7	2	28.6	5	71.4
FLOR:SMU-II	5	16.7	25	83.3	30	27	10	37.0	17	63.0
PRYVL:SAN PEDRO	65	47.1	73	52.9	138	121	67	55.4	54	44.6
PRYVL:SAN JUAN	29	25.7	84	74.3	113	79	36	45.6	43	54.4
PRYVL:SANTA CRUZ	36	36.0	64	64.0	100	92	68	73.9	24	26.1
PRYVL:SANTA MARIA	16	29.1	39	70.9	55	49	21	42.9	28	57.1
PHX:ACW	24	39.3	37	60.7	61	43	24	55.8	19	44.2
PHX:ARTC	13	65.0	7	35.0	20	16	6	37.5	10	62.5
PHX: ASPEN	15	29.4	36	70.6	51	48	23	47.9	25	52.1
PHX:FH	4	12.5	28	87.5	32	26	16	61.5	10	38.5
#AMUY	21	29.2	51	70.8	72	60	32	53.3	28	46.7
GLOBE#	16	35.6	29	64.4	45	31	19	61.3	12	38.7
TUCS:RINCON	36	30.5	82	69.5	118	62	34	54.8	28	45.2
TUCS:SANTA RITA	33	35.1	61	64.9	94	59	33	55.9	26	44.1
TUCS:CDU	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	4	2	50.0	2	50.0
TUCS: ECHO	44	35.2	81	64.8	125	61	31	50.8	30	49.2
TUCS:CIMARRON	39	30.2	90	69.8	129	105	62	59.0	43	41.0
TUCS:SACRC#	7	25.0	21	75.0	28	21	9	42.9	12	57.1
TUCS: MANZANITA	18	29.5	43	70.5	61	55	27	49.1	28	50.9
TUCS:WINCHESTER	97	54.2	82	45.8	179	168	103	61.3	65	38.7

[&]quot;#" denotes a telephonic unit

PANEL MEMBERS: TOM FREESTONE (CHAIR), ANNA MAY RIDDELL, STAN TURLEY (07-08/95)
ANNA MAY RIDDELL (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, TOM FREESTONE (09/95)
DONNA FLANIGAN (CHAIR), TOM FREESTONE, HOWARD JARRETT (10-12/95)
KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), HOWARD JARRETT, STAN TURLEY (01-02/96)
KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), TOM FREESTONE, STAN TURLEY (03-06/96)

A. ACTIVITY FOR PANEL "A" (continued)

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
FT.GRANT	54	36.7	93	63.3	147	135	71	52.6	64	47.4
DOUG:MARICOPA#	25	41.0	36	59.0	61	53	33	62.3	20	37.7
DOUG: PAPAGO	16	37.2	27	62.8	43	42	26	61.9	16	38.1
DOUG: MOHAVE	28	35.9	50	64.1	78	66	39	59.1	27	40.9
DOUG:GILA	57	43.2	75	56.8	132	122	67	54.9	55	45.1
DOUG: COCHISE	2	28.6	5	71.4	7	6	3	50.0	3	50.0
SAFF: GRAHAM	51	38.6	81	61.4	132	116	55	47.4	61	52.6
SAFF: TONTO	5	17.2	24	82.8	29	26	12	46.2	14	53.8
WIN: CORONADO	45	31.9	96	68.1	141	99	57	57.6	42	42.4
WIN:KAIBAB	35	26.5	97	73.5	132	115	68	59.1	47	40.9
WIN:LOCKUP	0	0	1	100.0	1	0	0	0	0	0
MARANA(M)#	48	49.0	50	51.0	98	97	48	49.5	49	50.5
MARANA(F)#	19	65.5	10	34.5	29	26	15	57.7	11	42.3
APACHE/ST.JOHNS#	32	39.0	50	61.0	82	71	39	54.9	32	45.1
PHX-WEST#	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	3	2	66.7	1	33.3
IN-ABSENTIA	8	11.9	59	88.1	67	1	0	0	1	100.0
ABEC ¹	150	100.0	0	0	150	0	0	0	0	0
HA/N	32	64.0	18	36.0	50	0	0	0	0	0
WF/N	13	39.4	20	60.6	33	0	0	0	0	0
HA/S	11	68.8	5	31.3	16	0	0	0	0	0
WF/S	2	22.2	7	77.8	9	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	1436	37.9	2357	62.1	3793	2784	1540	55.3	1244	44.7

[&]quot;#" denotes a telephonic unit

PANEL MEMBERS: TOM FREESTONE (CHAIR), ANNA MAY RIDDELL, STAN TURLEY (07-08/95)

ANNA MAY RIDDELL (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, TOM FREESTONE (09/95) DONNA FLANIGAN (CHAIR), TOM FREESTONE, HOWARD JARRETT (10-12/95)

KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), HOWARD JARRETT, STAN TURLEY (01-02/96)

KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), TOM FREESTONE, STAN TURLEY (03-06/96)

¹As an institutional unit, ABEC reflects the number of Board Review reversion hearings.

B. ACTIVITY FOR PANEL "B"

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
FLOR:CENTRAL UNIT	32	32.3	67	67.7	99	71	37	52.1	34	47.9
FLOR: SOUTH UNIT	10	33.3	20	66.7	30	24	20	83.3	4	16.7
FLOR: EAST UNIT	25	34.7	47	65.3	72	46	24	52.2	22	47.8
FLOR: NORTH UNIT	46	37.7	76	62.3	122	67	40	59.7	27	40.3
FLOR:SPU	3	18.8	13	81.3	16	6	4	66.7	2 7	33.3
FLOR: PCWC#	21	45.7	25	54.3	46	42	22	52.4	20	47.6
FLOR:SMU	20	10.6	169	89.4	189	169	97	57.4	72	42.6
FLOR:CB-6	20	14.3	109	85.7	14	11	7	63.6	4	36.4
FLOR:RYNNING	28	28.0	72	72.0	100	75	40	53.3	35	46.7
FLOR: COOK	30	34.9	56	65.1	86	67	36	53.7	31	46.3
FLOR: MEADOWS	58	40.0	87	60.0	145	114	61	53.7	53	46.5
FLOR: NORTH II#	1	14.3	6	85.7	7	4	3	75.0	1	25.0
FLOR:SMU-II	2	10.5	17	89.5	19	18	12	66.7	6	33.3
FLOR: SMU-II	2	10.5	17	89.5	19	10	12	00.7	0	33.3
PRYVL:SAN PEDRO	83	53.2	73	46.8	156	130	70	53.8	60	46.2
PRYVL:SAN JUAN	50	30.9	112	69.1	162	105	52	49.5	53	50.5
PRYVL:SANTA CRUZ	39	30.5	89	69.5	128	118	62	52.5	56	47.5
PRYVL:SANTA MARIA	18	26.1	51	73.9	69	60	26	43.3	34	56.7
PHX:ACW	23	37.1	39	62.9	62	54	23	42.6	31	57.4
PHX:ARTC	7	43.8	9	56.3	16	13	9	69.2	4	30.8
PHX: ASPEN	13	40.6	19	59.4	32	29	15	51.7	14	48.3
PHX:FH	1	7.1	13	92.9	14	9	4	44.4	5	55.6
YUMA#	25	32.5	52	67.5	77	65	34	52.3	31	47.7
GLOBE#	7	25.0	21	75.0	28	21	9	42.9	12	57.1
TUCS:RINCON	29	28.2	74	71.8	103	55	35	63.6	20	36.4
TUCS:SANTA RITA	36	37.9	59	62.1	95	58	30	51.7	28	48.3
TUCS:ECHO	44	34.4	84	65.6	128	47	25	53.2	22	46.8
TUCS:CIMARRON	29	28.2	74	71.8	103	91	54	59.3	37	40.7
TUCS:SACRC#	8	44.4	10	55.6	18	16	9	56.3	7	43.8
TUCS:MANZANITA	23	48.9	24	51.1	47	40	20	50.0	20	50.0
TUCS:WINCHESTER	93	59.2	64	40.8	157	151	87	57.6	64	42.4
FT.GRANT	51	45.1	62	54.9	113	94	52	55.3	42	44.7

[&]quot;#" denotes a telephonic unit

PANEL MEMBERS: DONNA FLANIGAN (CHAIR), KATHRYN BROWN, EDWARD LEYVA (07-08/95)

KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), EDWARD LEYVA, STAN TURLEY (09/95)

KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), EDWARD LEYVA, STAN TURLEY (10-12/95)

EDWARD LEYVA (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, TOM FREESTONE (01-02/96)

EDWARD LEYVA (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, HOWARD JARRETT (03-04/96)

HOWARD JARRETT (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, EDWARD LEYVA (05-06/96)

Control of the Contro

B. ACTIVITY FOR PANEL "B" (continued)

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES

DOUG: MARICOPA#	26	51.0	25	49.0	51	45	27	60.0	18	40.0
DOUG: PAPAGO	13	36.1	23	63.9	36	35	25	71.4	10	28.6
DOUG: MOHAVE	35	32.7	72	67.3	107	83	53	63.9	30	36.1
DOUG:GILA	75	51.7	70	48.3	145	126	71	56.3	55	43.7
DOUG: COCHISE	3	30.0	7	70.0	10	9	6	66.7	3	33.3
SAFF: GRAHAM	38	33.0	77	67.0	115	103	47	45.6	56	54.4
SAFF: TONTO	12	25.0	36	75.0	48	35	20	57.1	15	42.9
	4.0		••							
WIN: CORONADO	42	29.8	99	70.2	141	98	60	61.2	38	38.8
WIN:KAIBAB	35	26.5	97	73.5	132	111	68	61.3	43	38.7
MARANA(M)∦	59	53.2	52	46.8	111	107	70	65.4	37	34.6
MARANA(F)#	15	40.5	22	59.5	37	34	15	44.1	19	55.9
APACHE/ST.JOHNS#	37	37.8	61	62.2	98	79	50	63.3	29	36.7
PHX-WEST#	0	0	4	100.0	4	4	2	50.0	2	50.0
IN-ABSENTIA	13	24.5	40	75.5	53	1	1	100.0	0	0
ABEC ²	150	100.0	0	0	226	0	0	0	0	0
HA/N	45	88.2	6	11.8	51	0	0	0	0	0
WF/N	10	29.4	24	70.6	34	0	0	0	0	Ō
W2 /G	1.1	72.2		26.7	1.5	•	•	•		•
HA/S	11 3	73.3 75.0	4	26.7	15	0	0	0	0	0
WF/S	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	0	Ü	0	0	0
TOTALS	1479	39.0	2316	61.0	3871	2740	1534	56.0	1206	44.0

"#" denotes a telephonic unit

PANEL MEMBERS: DONNA FLANIGAN (CHAIR), KATHRYN BROWN, EDWARD LEYVA (07-08/95)

KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), EDWARD LEYVA, STAN TURLEY (09/95)
KATHRYN BROWN (CHAIR), EDWARD LEYVA, STAN TURLEY (10-12/95)
EDWARD LEYVA (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, TOM FREESTONE (01-02/96)
EDWARD LEYVA (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, HOWARD JARRETT (03-04/96)

HOWARD JARRETT (CHAIR), DONNA FLANIGAN, EDWARD LEYVA (05-06/96)

²As an institutional unit, ABEC reflects the number of hearings held to set the conditions of supervision for "Truth-In-Sentencing" inmates (excluded from calculations for grant and denial percentages) and Board Review reversion hearings.

C. SPLIT DECISIONS THAT REQUIRED FULL BOARD ACTION

FULL BOARD

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
PRYVL:SAN PEDRO	1	100.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	100.0
PRYVL:SAN JUAN	2	100.0	0	0	2	2	ő	Ö	2	100.0
TUCS:SANTA RITA	1	100.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	100.0
HA/N	1	100.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	5	100.0	0	0	5	4	0	0	4	100.0

D. ACTIVITY FOR NON-REVERSION BOARD REVIEW PANEL

INSTITUTIONAL UNIT	TOTAL RELEASES GRANTED	% OF HELD HEARINGS GRANTED	TOTAL RELEASES DENIED	% OF HELD HEARINGS DENIED	TOTAL # OF HEARINGS HELD	HEARINGS HELD USING SDM	# W/IN GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM W/IN GUIDELINES	# OUTSIDE GUIDELINES	% OF HEARINGS HELD USING SDM OUTSIDE GUIDELINES
FLOR: PCWC		100.0	0	0	13	13	12	92.3	1	7.7
PHX:ACW	85	100.0	0	0	85	13 77	47	61.0	30	39.0
YUMA	12	100.0	0	0	12	11	7	63.6	4	36.4
GLOBE	8	100.0	0	0	8	7	3	42.9	4	57.1
FT.GRANT	105	100.0	0	0	105	98	61	62.2	37	37.8
FI.GRANI	103	100.0	U	U	105	30	01	02.2	37	37.8
DOUG:MARICOPA	16	100.0	0	0	16	14	10	71.4	4	28.6
TUCS:SACRC	62	100.0	0	0	62	59	40	67.8	19	32.2
TUCS: MANZANITA		100.0	Ō	0	34	33	18	54.5	15	45.5
SAFF: GRAHAM	67	100.0	0	0	67	66	50	75.8	16	24.2
TOTALS	402	100.0	0	0	402	378	248	65.6	130	34.4
	DUANE BELCHER DUANE BELCHER DUANE BELCHER DUANE BELCHER	(CHAIR), S (CHAIR), D	TAN TURLEY OONNA FLANI	(01-02/96 GAN (03-04	5) 1/96)			9 9 11.	See a	Malas un ebes mana
								, <u>E</u>	. **• . F-s	

TO ALL THE BUT TO AG

PAST MEMBERS OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

MEMBER'S NAME	TO BE CONTROL TO SERVE TO THE CONTROL OF THE CONTRO	APPOINTED	RE-APPOINTED	TERM
W.W. Witt		1966		1966-67
Donald Welker		1966	1968	1966-73
William P. Reilly		1966		1966-70
A. Alan Hanshaw		1966		1966-71
Peter Byrne		1966		1966-69
Art Van Haren		1967		1967-72
Walter Michaels		1969	1971	1969-73
Abraham Cruz		1969	1971	1969-74
Keith Edwards		1969	1972	1969-75
Walter Jacobs		1971		1971-75
Daniel Simmons		1973	1976	1973-78
Olive O'Kier		1974		1974-75
Barnetta Anderson		1975		1975-78
Robert L. Araza		1975	1978, 1981	1975-85
Arter L. Johnson		1978	1984, 1989	1989-91
Jerry Thompson		1978	1979	1978-80
Carol Pavilack		1978	1980	1978-81
John Sloss		1978	1982	1978-87
Richard M. Ortiz		1981	1985	1981-89
Patricia V. Gilbert		1983	1985	1983-89
Robert W. Kennerly		1984		1984-88
Ron Johnson		1984	1987	1984-89
Ray R. Flores		1986		1986-90
Robert L. Araza		1989		1989-90
Luis M. Vega		1989		1989-91
Ruben Coronado		1991		1991-92
Jones Osborn		1991		1991-92
Frank R. Startzell		1987		1987-92
Craig R. Runbeck		1992		1992-93
Robert L. Tucker		1989		1989-94
Anna May Riddell		1990		1990-95

PRESENT MEMBERS OF THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY

MEMBER'S NAME	APPOINTED	RE-APPOINTED	TERM
Vothern D. Decum	1991	1006	1001 01
Kathryn D. Brown		1996	1991-01
Edward M. Leyva	1992		1992-97
Duane Belcher Sr.	1992		1992-97
Stan F. Turley	1989	1993	1989-98
Tom Freestone	1993		1993-98
Donna Flanigan	1994		1994-99
Howard M. Jarrett	1995		1995-00